arXiv:1609.04083v1 [cs.IT] 14 Sep 2016

Left dihedral codes over Galois rings GR(p^2, m)

Yonglin Cao[a] *, Yuan Cao[b], Fang-Wei Fu[c]

[a] *School of Sciences, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo, Shandong 255091, China*
[b] *College of Mathematics and Econometrics, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, China*
[c] *Chern Institute of Mathematics and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China*

Abstract

Let $D_{2n} = \langle x, y \mid x^n = 1, y^2 = 1, yxy = x^{-1} \rangle$ be a dihedral group, and $R = \mathrm{GR}(p^2, m)$ be a Galois ring of characteristic p^2 and cardinality p^{2m} where p is a prime. Left ideals of the group ring $R[D_{2n}]$ are called left dihedral codes over R of length $2n$, and abbreviated as left D_{2n}-codes over R. Let $\gcd(n, p) = 1$ in this paper. Then any left D_{2n}-code over R is uniquely decomposed into a direct sum of concatenated codes with inner codes $\mathcal{A}_i$ and outer codes C_i, where $\mathcal{A}_i$ is a cyclic code over R of length n and C_i is a skew cyclic code of length 2 over an extension Galois ring or principal ideal ring of R, and a generator matrix and basic parameters for each outer code C_i is given. Moreover, a formula to count the number of these codes is obtained, the dual code for each left D_{2n}-code is determined and all self-dual left D_{2n}-codes and self-orthogonal left D_{2n}-codes over R are presented, respectively.

Keywords: Left dihedral code; Galois ring; Concatenated structure; Cyclic code; Dual code; Self-orthogonal code

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 94B05, 94B15, 11T71

1. Introduction

After the celebrated results in the 1990s ([14], [24], [31]) that many important yet seemingly non-linear codes over finite fields are actually closely related to

*corresponding author.
E-mail addresses: ylcao@sdut.edu.cn (Yonglin Cao), yuan_cao@hnu.edu.cn (Yuan Cao), fwfu@nankai.edu.cn (F.–W. Fu).

linear codes over the ring of integers modulo four, codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ in particular, and codes over finite commutative chain rings in general, have received a great deal of attention. Examples of finite commutative chain rings include the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$ of integers modulo p^k for a prime p, and the Galois rings $\mathrm{GR}(p^k, m)$, i.e. the Galois extension of degree m of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$. These classes of rings have been used widely as an alphabet for codes.

Let R be a finite chain ring with identity, $R^\times$ be the multiplicative group of invertible elements of R, N a positive integer and $R^N = \{(r_1, \ldots, r_N) \mid r_j \in R,\ j = 1, \ldots, N\}$ be the free R-module of rank N with the coordinate component addition and scalar multiplication by elements of R. Then *linear codes* over R (or *linear R-codes*) of length N are defined as R-submodules of R^N. For any fixed $\lambda \in R^\times$, a linear R-code $\mathcal{C}$ of length N is said to be λ-*constacyclic* if $(\lambda c_{n-1}, c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n-2}) \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $(c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be *cyclic* (*negacyclic*) when $\lambda = 1$ ($\lambda = -1$). Readers are referred to [17], [20], [21], [25], [27], [32] and [34] for results on linear codes, cyclic codes and constacyclic codes over finite chain rings. Moreover, various decoding schemes for codes over Galois rings have been considered in [11–13].

Now, let $N = ln$. A linear code $\mathcal{C}$ over R of length N is called a *l-quasi-cyclic code* of length ln if

$$(c_{1,n-1}, c_{1,0}, c_{1,1}, \ldots, c_{1,n-2}, \ldots, c_{l,n-1}, c_{l,0}, c_{l,1} \ldots, c_{l,n-2}) \in \mathcal{C}$$

for all $(c_{1,0}, c_{1,1}, \ldots, c_{1,n-1}, \ldots, c_{l,0}, c_{l,1}, \ldots, c_{l,n-1}) \in \mathcal{C}$. Quasi-cyclic codes and their generalizations over finite chain rings have been received a great deal of attention. For example, see [1], [4], [15], [16] and [19].

In this paper, let

$$D_{2n} = \langle x, y \mid x^n = 1, y^2 = 1, yxy = x^{-1} \rangle = \{x^i y^j \mid 0 \le i \le n-1, j = 0, 1\}$$

be a dihedral group of order n. The group ring $R[D_{2n}]$ is a free R-module with basis D_{2n}. Addition, multiplication with scalars $c \in R$ and multiplication are defined by: for any $a_g, b_g \in R$ where $g \in D_{2n}$,

$$\sum_{g \in D_{2n}} a_g g + \sum_{g \in D_{2n}} b_g g = \sum_{g \in D_{2n}} (a_g + b_g) g,\ c(\sum_{g \in D_{2n}} a_g g) = \sum_{g \in D_{2n}} c a_g g,$$

$$(\sum_{g \in D_{2n}} a_g g)(\sum_{g \in D_{2n}} b_g g) = \sum_{g \in D_{2n}} (\sum_{uv = g} a_u b_v) g.$$

Then $R[D_{2n}]$ is a noncommutative ring with identity $1 = 1_R 1_{D_{2n}}$ where 1_R and $1_{D_{2n}}$ is the identity elements of R and D_{2n} respectively. Readers are referred to [33] for more details on group rings.

For any $a = (a_{0,0}, a_{1,0}, \ldots, a_{n-1,0}, a_{0,1}, a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{n-1,1}) \in R^{2n}$, we define

$$\Psi(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i,0} x^i + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i,1} x^i y.$$

Then Ψ is an R-module isomorphism from R^{2n} onto $R[D_{2n}]$. As a natural generalization of [23], a nonempty subset $\mathcal{C}$ of the R-module R^{2n} is called a *left dihedral code* (or *left D_{2n}-code* for more clear) over R if $\Psi(\mathcal{C})$ is a left ideal of $R[D_{2n}]$. As usual, we will identify $\mathcal{C}$ with $\Psi(\mathcal{C})$ in this paper. Since

$$\Psi(a_{n-1,0}, a_{0,0}, a_{1,0}, \ldots, a_{n-2,0}, a_{n-1,1}, a_{0,1}, a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{n-2,1}) = x\Psi(a)$$

for all $a = (a_{0,0}, a_{1,0}, \ldots, a_{n-1,0}, a_{0,1}, a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{n-1,1}) \in R^{2n}$, we see that every left D_{2n}-code over R is a 2-quasi-cyclic code over R of length $2n$.

When $R = \mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite field of cardinality q, there have been many research results on codes as two-sided ideals and left ideals in a finite group algebra over finite fields. For example, Dutra et al [22] investigated codes that are given as two-sided ideals in a semisimple finite group algebra $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$ defined by idempotents constructed from subgroups of a finite group G, and given a criterion to decide when these ideals are all the minimal two-sided ideals of $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$ in the case when G is a dihedral group. McLoughlin [30] provided a new construction of the self-dual, doubly-even and extremal [48,24,12] binary linear block code using a zero divisor in the group ring $\mathbb{F}_2[D_{48}]$ where D_{48} is a dihedral group of order 24.

Recently, Brochero Martínez [10] shown all central irreducible idempotents and their Wedderburn decomposition of the dihedral group algebra $\mathbb{F}_q[D_{2n}]$, in the case when every divisor of n divides $q-1$. This characterization depends to the relation of the irreducible idempotents of the cyclic group algebra $\mathbb{F}_q[C_n]$ and the central irreducible idempotents of the group algebras $\mathbb{F}_q[D_{2n}]$. Gabriela and Inneke [23] provided algorithms to construct minimal left group codes. These are based on results describing a complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents in each Wedderburn component of a semisimple finite group algebra $\mathbb{F}_q[G]$ for a large class of groups G.

More importantly, Bazzi and Mitter [3] shown that for infinitely many block lengths a random left ideal in the binary group algebra of the dihedral group is an asymptotically good rate-half code with a high probability.

Martínez-Pérez and Willems [29] proved that the class of binary self-dual doubly even 2-quasi-cyclic transitive codes is asymptotically good. A system theory for left D_{2n}-codes over finite fields was given [18].

Let $R = \mathrm{GR}(p^2, m)$ be a Galois ring of characteristic p^2 and cardinality p^{2m} and $\gcd(n, p) = 1$, we try to achieve the following two goals:

$\diamondsuit$ Develop a system theory for left D_{2n}-codes over R using an elementary method. Precisely, only finite field theory, Galois ring theory and basic theory of cyclic codes and skew cyclic codes are used, and it does not involve any group algebra language and technique except the definition for left D_{2n}-codes.

$\diamondsuit$ Provide a precise expression for all distinct left D_{2n}-codes, their dual codes, self-orthogonal and self-dual left D_{2n}-codes over R.
Using the expression provided, one can present left D_{2n}-codes, self-orthogonal and self-dual left D_{2n}-codes over R for specific n, m and p (not too big) conveniently and easily, and design left D_{2n}-codes for their requirements directly.

The present paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we present every left D_{2n}-code and its dual code over R by their direct sum decomposition, where each direct summand is a concatenated code, respectively. In particular, we determine the inner code and give a generator matrix and basic parameters for the outer code of each concatenated code precisely. As a corollary, we obtain a formula to count the number of all left D_{2n}-codes over R. In section 3, we give a proof for the main results (Theorem 2.5) of this paper by use of the known results for left dihedral codes over finite fields and Galois ring theory. Then we present all self-dual and all self-orthogonal left D_{2n}-codes over R in Section 4. Finally, we list 60 optimal $\mathbb{Z}_4$-linear codes of length 30 obtained from left D_{30}-codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$.

2. Concatenated structure of left D_{2n}-codes over R

In this section, we give the concatenated structure of every left D_{2n}-code and its Euclidian dual code over R explicitly, and obtain a formula to count the number of all left D_{2n}-codes over R precisely. In this paper, we adopt the following notations.

Let $R = \mathrm{GR}(p^2, m)$ be a fixed Galois ring of characteristic p^2 and cardinality p^{2m}, $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} = R/pR$ be the residue class field of R modulo its unique maximal ideal pR and $^- : R \to \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ be the natural surjective homomorphism of rings from R onto $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ defined by $\overline{a} = a \pmod p$ for all $a \in R$.

Example Let $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} = \{0, 1, \ldots, p^2 - 1\}$ be the ring of integers modulo p^2, $h(x) = x^m + h_{m-1}x^{m-1} + \ldots + h_1 x + h_0$ be a fixed basic irreducible monic polynomial of degree m in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}[x]$, i.e. $h(x)$ is a monic polynomial of degree m in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}[x]$ such that $h(x) \pmod p$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$, and let

$$R = \{a_0 + a_1 z + \ldots + a_{m-1}z^{m-1} \mid a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{m-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}\} = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}[z]$$

in which $z^m = -h_0 - h_1 z - \ldots - h_{m-1}z^{m-1}$. Then R is a Galois ring of characteristic p^2 and cardinality p^{2m}. Denote $\overline{a} = a \pmod p$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$. It is known that $\mathbb{F}_{p^m} = \mathbb{Z}_p[x]/\langle \overline{h}(x) \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_p[\overline{z}]$, where $\overline{h}(x) = x^m + \overline{h}_{m-1}x^{m-1} + \ldots + \overline{h}_1 x + \overline{h}_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ and $\overline{z}^m = -\overline{h}_0 - \overline{h}_1\overline{z} - \ldots - \overline{h}_{m-1}\overline{z}^{m-1}$, and the natural surjective homomorphism of rings $^- : R \to \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ is given by

$$a_0 + a_1 z + \ldots + a_{m-1}z^{m-1} \mapsto \overline{a}_0 + \overline{a}_1\overline{z} + \ldots + \overline{a}_{m-1}\overline{z}^{m-1}$$

for any $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{m-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ (cf. [36] Theorem 14.1).

The ring homomorphism $^- : R \to \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ can be extended to a surjective homomorphism of polynomial rings from $R[x]$ onto $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$ in the natural way:

$$g(x) \mapsto \overline{g}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \overline{g}_i x^i, \ \forall g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} g_i x^i \in R[x] \text{ with } g_i \in R.$$

We will denote this homomorphism by $^-$ as well. Then a monic polynomial $g(x) \in R[x]$ is said to be *basic irreducible* (*basic primitive*) if $\overline{g}(x)$ is an irreducible (primitive) polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$. Denote

$$\mathcal{A} = R[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle = \{a(x) \mid a(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j x^j, \ a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in R\}$$

where the arithmetic is done modulo $x^n - 1$. For any positive integer l, by a *linear* $\mathcal{A}$*-code* of length l we mean an $\mathcal{A}$-submodule of $\mathcal{A}^l = \{(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l) \mid \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l \in \mathcal{A}\}$.

If $l = 1$, linear $\mathcal{A}$-codes are exactly ideals of the ring $\mathcal{A}$ which are the well-known *cyclic codes* over R of length n (cf. [37] Proposition 1.1). If $l \geq 2$, every linear $\mathcal{A}$-code of l can be seen as an R-linear code of length nl by replacing each $a(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j x^j \in \mathcal{A}$ with $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}) \in R^n$, and these R-linear codes of length nl are the well known l*-quasi-cyclic codes* over R of length nl.

Let $\Omega_n = \{1, x, \ldots, x^{n-1}\}$ be the cyclic subgroup of D_{2n} generated by x. Then we can identify the group ring $R[\Omega_n]$ with $\mathcal{A}$ in the natural way. Hence every element of the group ring $R[D_{2n}]$ is uniquely expressed as: $a_0(x) + a_1(x)y$, $a_0(x), a_1(x) \in \mathcal{A}$. Now, we define a map $\Xi : \mathcal{A}^2 \to R[D_{2n}]$ by

$$(a_0(x), a_1(x)) \mapsto a_0(x) + a_1(x)y \ (\forall a_0(x), a_1(x) \in \mathcal{A}).$$

It is routine to check that Ξ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$-modules from $\mathcal{A}^2$ onto $R[D_{2n}]$. As stated above, $\mathcal{A}$-submodules of $\mathcal{A}^2$ are 2-quasi-cyclic codes over R of length $2n$. We will identify $R[D_{2n}]$ with $\mathcal{A}^2$ under Ξ in this paper. Then by $yx = x^{-1}y$ in D_{2n}, we deduce the following conclusion.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^2$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is a left ideal of $R[D_{2n}]$ if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ is an $\mathcal{A}$-submodule of $\mathcal{A}^2$ satisfying the following condition*

$$(a_1(x^{-1}), a_0(x^{-1})) \in \mathcal{C}, \ \forall (a_0(x), a_1(x)) \in \mathcal{C}.$$

For any nonzero polynomial $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i x^i \in R[x]$ of degree d, recall that the *reciprocal polynomial* of $g(x)$ is defined by

$$g^*(x) = (g(x))^* = x^d g(\frac{1}{x}) = a_d + a_{d-1}x + \ldots + a_0 x^d.$$

$g(x)$ is said to be *self-reciprocal* if $g^*(x) = ug(x)$ for some $u \in R^\times$.

As $\gcd(p, n) = 1$, we have $x^n - 1 = \prod_{i=0}^{r+t} f_i(x)$, where $f_0(x), f_1(x), \ldots, f_{r+t}(x)$ are pairwise coprime monic polynomials in $R[x]$ such that

- $f_0(x) = x - 1$,
- $f_i(x)$ is a self-reciprocal and basic irreducible polynomial of degree d_i, $i = 1, \ldots, r$,
- $f_i(x) = \rho_i(x)\rho_i^*(x)$ where $\rho_i(x)$ is a monic basic irreducible polynomial of degree d_i such that $\rho_i(x)$ and $\rho_i^*(x)$ are coprime in $R[x]$, $i = r+1, \ldots, r+t$.

Then it is clear that $n = \sum_{i=0}^{r} d_i + 2\sum_{i=r+1}^{r+t} d_i$ where $d_0 = 1$. Denote

- $A_i = R[x]/\langle f_i(x)\rangle$ where we consider elements of A_i as polynomials in $R[x]$ of degree $< \deg(f_i(x))$ and the arithmetic is done modulo $f_i(x)$, for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r + t$.
- $\Upsilon_{i,1} = R[x]/\langle \rho_i(x)\rangle$ and $\Upsilon_{i,2} = R[x]/\langle \rho_i^*(x)\rangle$ where we consider elements of $\Upsilon_{i,1}$ (resp. $\Upsilon_{i,2}$) as polynomials in $R[x]$ of degree $< d_i$ and the arithmetic is done modulo $\rho_i(x)$ (resp. $\rho_i^*(x)$), for $i = r + 1, \ldots, r + t$.

As a direct corollary of Wan [36] Theorem 14.23 and Theorem 14.27, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 (i) *For each integer i, $0 \le i \le r$, A_i is a Galois ring of characteristic p^2 and cardinality p^{2md_i} which is a Galois extension of R with degree d_i, and there is an invertible element $\zeta_i(x)$ in A_i of multiplicative order $p^{md_i}-1$.*

(ii) *For each integer i, $r+1 \le i \le r+t$, $\Upsilon_{i,1}$ is a Galois ring of characteristic p^2 and cardinality p^{2md_i} which is a Galois extension of R with degree d_i, and there is an invertible element $\zeta_i(x)$ in $\Upsilon_{i,1}$ of multiplicative order $p^{md_i}-1$.*

(iii) *A_i is a free R-module of rank $\deg(f_i(x))$ with an R-basis $\{1, x, \ldots, x^{\deg(f_i(x))-1}\}$ for any $0 \le i \le r+t$.*

For each $0 \le i \le r+t$, denote $F_i(x) = \frac{x^n-1}{f_i(x)} \in R[x]$. Then $F_i(x)$ and $f_i(x)$ are coprime polynomials in $R[x]$. Hence there are polynomials $u_i(x), v_i(x) \in R[x]$ such that

$$u_i(x)F_i(x) + v_i(x)f_i(x) = 1. \tag{1}$$

In the rest of this paper, let $\varepsilon_i(x) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

- $\varepsilon_i(x) \equiv u_i(x)F_i(x) = 1 - v_i(x)f_i(x) \pmod{x^n-1}$.

Then from classical ring theory, we deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3 (cf. [37] Theorem 2.7 and its proof) (i) $\sum_{i=0}^{r+t} \varepsilon_i(x) = 1$, $\varepsilon_i(x)^2 = \varepsilon_i(x)$ *and* $\varepsilon_i(x)\varepsilon_j(x) = 0$ *for all* $0 \le i \ne j \le r+t$ *in the ring* $\mathcal{A}$.

(ii) $\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{i=0}^{r+t}\mathcal{A}_i$, *where* $\mathcal{A}_i = \varepsilon_i(x)\mathcal{A}$ *with* $\varepsilon_i(x)$ *as its multiplicative identity. Moreover, this decomposition is a ring direct sum in that* $\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{A}_j = \{0\}$ *for all* $0 \le i \ne j \le r+t$.

(iii) *For each* $0 \le i \le r+t$, *let* $A_i = R[x]/\langle f_i(x)\rangle$. *Then the map*

$$\varphi_i : a(x) \mapsto \varepsilon_i(x)a(x) \pmod{x^n-1},\ \forall a(x) \in A_i$$

is an isomorphism of rings from A_i onto $\mathcal{A}_i$.

As usual, we will identify each $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}) \in R^n$ with $a_0 + a_1x + \ldots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} \in \mathcal{A}$. Then we have the following properties for $\mathcal{A}_i = \varepsilon_i(x)\mathcal{A}$.

Corollary 2.4 *Let $0 \le i \le r+t$. Then*

(i) (cf. [37] Proposition 4.3) *$\mathcal{A}_i$ is a cyclic code over R of length n with parity check polynomial $f_i(x)$ and generating idempotent $\varepsilon_i(x)$.*

(ii) $\mathcal{A}_i$ *is a free* R*-submodule of* $\mathcal{A} = R[x]/\langle x^n - 1\rangle$ *with an* R*-basis* $\{\varepsilon_i(x), x\varepsilon_i(x), \ldots, x^{\deg(f_i(x))-1}\varepsilon_i(x)\}$. *Hence* $|\mathcal{A}_i| = p^{2m\cdot\deg(f_i(x))}$.

Proof. (ii) As $f_i(x)$ is a monic divisor of $x^n - 1$ in $R[x]$, $A_i = R[x]/\langle f_i(x)\rangle$ is a free R-module with an R-basis $\{1, x, \ldots, x^{\deg(f_i(x))-1}\}$, which implies $|A_i| = |R|^{\deg(f_i(x))} = p^{2m\cdot\deg(f_i(x))}$. From this and by Lemma 2.3(iii), we see that $\{\varepsilon_i(x), x\varepsilon_i(x), \ldots, x^{\deg(f_i(x))-1}\varepsilon_i(x)\}$ is a free R-basis of $\mathcal{A}_i$. □

Now, let C_i be an A_i-linear code of length 2, i.e., C_i is an A_i-submodule of $A_i^2 = \{(b_0(x), b_1(x)) \mid b_0(x), b_1(x) \in A_i\}$. For each $\xi = (b_0(x), b_1(x)) \in A_i^2$, we denote by $\mathrm{w}_H^{(A_i)}(\xi) = |\{j \mid b_j(x) \neq 0 \text{ in } A_i,\ j = 0, 1\}|$ the Hamming weight of ξ. Then the minimum Hamming distance of C_i is given by

$$d_H^{(A_i)}(C_i) = \min\{\mathrm{w}_H^{(A_i)}(\xi) \mid \xi \neq 0,\ \xi \in C_i\}.$$

As a natural generalization of the concept for concatenated codes over finite field (cf. [35, Definition 2.1]), we define the *concatenated code* of the inner code $\mathcal{A}_i$ and the outer code C_i as following

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_i\square_{\varphi_i}C_i &= \{(\varphi_i(b_0(x)), \varphi_i(b_1(x))) \mid (b_0(x), b_1(x)) \in C_i\}\\ &= \{(\varepsilon_i(x)b_0(x), \varepsilon_i(x)b_1(x)) \mid (b_0(x), b_1(x)) \in C_i\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_i^2.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3(iii), we see that $\mathcal{A}_i\square_{\varphi_i}C_i$ is a 2-quasi-cyclic code over R of length $2n$ with cardinality $|\mathcal{A}_i\square_{\varphi_i}C_i| = |C_i|$. Moreover, the minimum Hamming distance of $\mathcal{A}_i\square_{\varphi_i}C_i$ satisfies

$$d_H^{(R)}(\mathcal{A}_i\square_{\varphi_i}C_i) \geq d_H^{(R)}(\mathcal{A}_i) \cdot d_H^{(A_i)}(C_i)$$

where $d_H^{(R)}(\mathcal{A}_i)$ is the minimum Hamming weight of $\mathcal{A}_i$ as a linear R-code of length n.

As the end of this section, we list all distinct left D_{2n}-codes over R and their Euclidean dual codes by the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5 *Using the notations above, all distinct left* D_{2n}*-codes* $\mathcal{C}$ *over* R *and their Euclidean dual codes* $\mathcal{C}^{\perp_E}$ *are given by*

$$\mathcal{C} = \oplus_{i=0}^{r+t}\mathcal{A}_i\square_{\varphi_i}C_i \text{ and } \mathcal{C}^{\perp_E} = \oplus_{i=0}^{r+t}\mathcal{A}_i\square_{\varphi_i}V_i$$

respectively, where C_i *and* V_i *are* A_i*-linear codes of length* 2 *with generator matrices* G_i *and* H_i *respectively, given by the one of following three tables.*

(I) *Let* $0 \leq i \leq r$. *We have one of the following two subcases.*

(i) *Let* $d_i = 1$. *Then*

(i-1) *If* p *is odd,* (G_i, H_i) *is given by the following table.*

N_i	G_i	$\lvert C_i\rvert$	d	H_i
1	0	1	0	I_2
2	$p^j I_2$ $(j=0,1)$	$p^{2(2-j)m}$	1	$p^{2-j}I_2$
2	(wp, p)	p^m	2	$\begin{bmatrix} -w & 1 \\ 0 & p \end{bmatrix}$
2	$\begin{bmatrix} w & 1 \\ 0 & p \end{bmatrix}$	p^{3m}	1	$(-wp, p)$
2	$(w, 1)$	p^{2m}	2	$(-w, 1)$

where $w \in \{1, -1\}$, $d = \mathrm{d}_H^{(A_i)}(C_i)$, N_i *is the number of* C_i *in the same line and* I_2 *is the identity matrix of order* 2 *(the same below).*

(i-2) *If* $p = 2$, (G_i, H_i) *is given by the following table.*

N_i	G_i	$\lvert C_i\rvert$	d	H_i
1	0	1	0	I_2
2	$2^j I_2$ $(j=0,1)$	$2^{2(2-j)m}$	1	$2^{2-j}I_2$
1	$(2, 2)$	2^m	2	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$
1	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$	2^{3m}	1	$(2, 2)$
2^m	$(1+2u, 1)$ $(u \in \mathcal{T})$	2^{2m}	2	$(-1+2u, 1)$

where $\mathcal{T}$ *is a Teichmüller set of* R *with* $|\mathcal{T}| = |\mathbb{F}_{2^m}| = 2^m$ *and* $\overline{\mathcal{T}} = \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$.

(ii) *Let* $d_i \geq 2$ *and* $\zeta_i(x)$ *be an invertible element of* A_i *with multiplicative order* $p^{md_i} - 1$. *Then* d_i *is even and* (G_i, H_i) *is given by the following table.*

N_i	G_i	$\lvert C_i\rvert$	d	H_i
1	0	1	0	I_2
2	$p^j I_2$ $(j=0,1)$	$p^{2(2-j)m}$	1	$p^{2-j}I_2$
$p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}+1$	$(pw(x), p)$	p^{md_i}	2	$\begin{bmatrix} -w(x) & 1 \\ 0 & p \end{bmatrix}$
$p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}+1$	$\begin{bmatrix} w(x) & 1 \\ 0 & p \end{bmatrix}$	p^{3md_i}	1	$(-pw(x), p)$
$p^{md_i}+p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}$	$(w(x)(1+p\vartheta(x)), 1)$	p^{2md_i}	2	$(-w(x)(1+p\vartheta(x)), 1)$

where $w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i$ *and* $\vartheta(x) \in \mathcal{V}_i$. *Here*

- $\mathcal{W}_i = \{\zeta_i(x)^{(p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}-1)s} \mid s = 0, 1, \ldots, p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}\}$;

• $\mathcal{V}_i = \{0\} \cup \{\overline{\zeta}_i(x)^{(2^{\frac{md_i}{2}}+1)l} \mid l = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^{\frac{md_i}{2}} - 2\}$ *when* $p = 2$, *and* $\mathcal{V}_i = \{0\} \cup \{\overline{\zeta}_i(x)^{\frac{1}{2}(p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}+1)+(p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}+1)l} \mid l = 0, 1, \ldots, p^{\frac{md_i}{2}} - 2\}$ *when* p *is odd.*

(II) *Let* $r + 1 \le i \le r + t$ *and* $\zeta_i(x)$ *be an invertible element of* $\Upsilon_{i,1}$ *with multiplicative order* $p^{md_i} - 1$. *Find* $\phi_i(x), \psi_i(x) \in R[x]$ *satisfying*

$$\phi_i(x)\rho_i^*(x) + \psi_i(x)\rho_i(x) = 1, \tag{2}$$

and set $\epsilon_{i,1}(x), \epsilon_{i,2}(x) \in A_i = R[x]/\langle f_i(x)\rangle = R[x]/\langle \rho_i(x)\rho_i^*(x)\rangle$ *as follows*

• $\epsilon_{i,1}(x) \equiv \phi_i(x)\rho_i^*(x) \pmod{f_i(x)}$ *and* $\epsilon_{i,2}(x) \equiv \psi_i(x)\rho_i(x) \pmod{f_i(x)}$.

Then (G_i, H_i) *is given by the following table*:

N_i	G_i	$\lvert C_i\rvert$	d	H_i
1	0	1	0	I_2
2	$p^j I_2$ $(j = 0, 1)$	$p^{2(2-j)m}$	1	$p^{2-j} I_2$
1	$pM_{i,1}$	p^{2md_i}	1	$\begin{bmatrix} M_{i,1} \\ pM_{i,2} \end{bmatrix}$
1	$\begin{bmatrix} M_{i,1} \\ pM_{i,2} \end{bmatrix}$	p^{6md_i}	1	$pM_{i,1}$
1	$pM_{i,2}$	p^{2md_i}	1	$\begin{bmatrix} M_{i,2} \\ pM_{i,1} \end{bmatrix}$
1	$\begin{bmatrix} M_{i,2} \\ pM_{i,1} \end{bmatrix}$	p^{6md_i}	1	$pM_{i,2}$
$p^{md_i} - 1$	$(pw(x), p)$	p^{2md_i}	2	$\begin{bmatrix} -w(x) & 1 \\ 0 & p \end{bmatrix}$
$p^{md_i} - 1$	$\begin{bmatrix} w(x) & 1 \\ 0 & p \end{bmatrix}$	p^{6md_i}	1	$(-pw(x), p)$
p^{md_i}	$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{i,1}(x) & pb_{i,1}(x) \\ pb_{i,1}(x^{-1}) & \epsilon_{i,2}(x) \end{bmatrix}$	p^{4md_i}	1	$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{i,1}(x) & -pb_{i,1}(x) \\ -pb_{i,1}(x^{-1}) & \epsilon_{i,2}(x) \end{bmatrix}$
$p^{2md_i} - p^{md_i}$	$(w(x) + p\vartheta(x), 1)$	p^{4md_i}	2	$(-w(x) - p\vartheta(x), 1)$
p^{md_i}	$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{i,2}(x) & pb_{i,2}(x) \\ pb_{i,2}(x^{-1}) & \epsilon_{i,1}(x) \end{bmatrix}$	p^{4md_i}	1	$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{i,2}(x) & -pb_{i,2}(x) \\ -pb_{i,2}(x^{-1}) & \epsilon_{i,1}(x) \end{bmatrix}$

where $M_{i,1} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{i,1}(x) & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_{i,2}(x) \end{bmatrix}$, $M_{i,2} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{i,2}(x) & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_{i,1}(x) \end{bmatrix}$, $b_{i,j}(x) \in K_{i,j}$ *for* $j = 1, 2$, $\vartheta(x) \in \mathcal{V}_i^{(w(x))}$ *and* $w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i$. *Here*

• $U_{i,1} = \{0\} \cup \{\epsilon_{i,1}(x)\zeta_i(x)^k \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, p^{md_i} - 2\} \pmod{f_i(x)}$;

• $K_{i,1} = \{0\} \cup \{\overline{\epsilon}_{i,1}(x)\overline{\zeta}_i(x)^k \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, p^{md_i} - 2\} = U_{i,1} \pmod{p}$;

• $K_{i,2} = \{0\} \cup \{\overline{\epsilon}_{i,2}(x)\overline{\zeta}_i(x^{n-1})^k \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, p^{md_i} - 2\} \pmod{\overline{f}_i(x)}$;

• $\mathcal{W}_i = \{u(x) + \frac{1}{u(x^{-1})} \mid 0 \ne u(x) \in U_{i,1}\}$;

• $\mathcal{V}_i^{(w(x))} = \{v(x) - (\frac{1}{u(x^{-1})})^2 v(x^{-1}) \mid v(x) \in U_{i,1}\} \pmod p$ *for any* $w(x) = u(x) + \frac{1}{u(x^{-1})} \in \mathcal{W}_i$ *where* $0 \neq u(x) \in U_{i,1}$.

Remark In Theorem 2.5(II), we have that $v(x^{-1}) = 0$ if $v(x) = 0$. Now, let $u(x) = \epsilon_{i,1}(x)\zeta_i(x)^k$ where $0 \leq k \leq p^{md_i} - 2$. Then

• $u(x^{-1}) = \epsilon_{i,2}(x)\zeta_i(x^{-1})^k = \epsilon_{i,2}(x)\zeta_i(x^{n-1})^k \pmod{f_i(x)}$.

• $\frac{1}{u(x^{-1})} = \epsilon_{i,2}(x)\zeta_i(x^{-1})^{p^{md_i}-1-k} = \epsilon_{i,2}(x)\zeta_i(x^{n-1})^{p^{md_i}-1-k} \pmod{f_i(x)}$.

• $b_{i,1}(x^{-1}) = \overline{\epsilon}_{i,2}(x)\overline{\zeta}_i(x^{n-1})^k \in K_{i,2}$, if $b_{i,1}(x) = \overline{\epsilon}_{i,1}(x)\overline{\zeta}_i(x)^k \in K_{i,1}$ where $0 \leq k \leq p^{md_i} - 2$.

• $b_{i,2}(x^{-1}) = \overline{\epsilon}_{i,1}(x)\overline{\zeta}_i(x)^k \in K_{i,1}$, if $b_{i,1}(x) = \overline{\epsilon}_{i,2}(x)\overline{\zeta}_i(x^{n-1})^k \in K_{i,2}$ where $0 \leq k \leq p^{md_i} - 2$.

Finally, by Theorem 2.5 we obtain a formula to count the number of all left D_{2n}-codes over R.

Corollary 2.6 *Denote* $\lambda = |\{i \mid d_i = 1, 0 \leq i \leq r\}|$. *Let* $\mathcal{N}_{(n,p^2,p^{2m})}$ *be the number of left* D_{2n}*-codes over* R. *If* p *is odd,*

$$\mathcal{N}_{(n,p^2,p^{2m})} = 9^\lambda \prod_{d_i \geq 2, 1 \leq i \leq r} \left(p^{md_i} + 3p^{\frac{md_i}{2}} + 5\right) \prod_{i=r+1}^{r+t} \left(p^{2md_i} + 3p^{md_i} + 5\right);$$

and if $p = 2$,

$$\mathcal{N}_{(n,4,4^m)} = (2^m+5)^\lambda \prod_{d_i \geq 2, 1 \leq i \leq r} \left(2^{md_i} + 3 \cdot 2^{\frac{md_i}{2}} + 5\right) \prod_{i=r+1}^{r+t} \left(4^{md_i} + 3 \cdot 2^{md_i} + 5\right).$$

3. Proof of Theorem 2.5

In this section, we give a proof for Theorem 2.5. First, for basic irreducible (basic primitive) polynomials in $R[x]$ we have the following conclusion.

Lemma 3.1 (cf. [36] Theorem 14.22) *For any integer* $\kappa \geq 1$ *there exist monic basic irreducible (and monic basic primitive) polynomials of degree* κ *over* R *and dividing* $x^{p^{m\kappa}-1} - 1$ *in* $R[x]$.

Since n is a positive integer satisfying $\gcd(p, n) = 1$, there is an integer κ such that $\kappa = \min\{s \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid (p^m)^s \equiv 1 \pmod n\}$. Then n is a divisor

of $p^{m\kappa}-1$. Using Lemma 3.1, we choose a fixed monic basic irreducible polynomial $\varsigma(z)$ of degree κ in $R[z]$, and set $\widehat{R}=R[z]/\langle\varsigma(z)\rangle$ which is a Galois ring of characteristic p^2 and cardinality $p^{2m\kappa}$ (cf. [36] Theorem 14.23). Now, we choose an invertible element ζ of $\widehat{R}$ with multiplicative order $p^{m\kappa}-1$ (cf. [36] Theorem 14.27) and set $\omega=\zeta^{\frac{p^{m\kappa}-1}{n}}$. Then $\mathrm{ord}(\omega)=n$ and

$$x^n-1=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(x-\omega^i).$$

For each $0\le i\le n-1$, let

$\diamond$ $r_i=\min\{l\in\mathbb{Z}^+ \mid i(p^m)^l\equiv i \pmod n\}$;

$\diamond$ $J_i^{(p^m)}=\{i, ip^m, ip^{2m}, \ldots, ip^{(r_i-1)m}\}$.

Then $J_i^{(p^m)}$ is the p^m-cyclotomic coset modulo n containing i, and the minimal polynomial of ω^i over R is given by

$$M_i(x)=\prod_{s\in J_i^{(p^m)}}(x-\omega^s)=\prod_{l=0}^{r_i-1}(x-\omega^{ip^{lm}})$$

which is a monic basic irreducible polynomial in $R[x]$ and satisfies $M_i(x)|(x^n-1)$. Moreover, we have

$$M_i^*(x)=x^{r_i}\prod_{l=0}^{r_i-1}(\frac{1}{x}-\omega^{ip^{lm}})=u\prod_{l=0}^{r_i-1}(x-\omega^{-ip^{lm}})=uM_j(x),$$

where $0\le j\le n-1$ satisfying $j\equiv -i \pmod n$ and $u=\omega^{i(\sum_{l=0}^{r_i-1}p^{lm})}\in R^\times$ (cf. [17] Lemma 2.3(ii)). Then we have the following conclusion.

Lemma 3.2 *$M_i(x)$ is a self-reciprocal polynomial if and only if $J_i^{(p^m)}=J_{-i \pmod n}^{(p^m)}$, i.e., $i(p^{lm}+1)\equiv 0 \pmod n$ for some integer l, $0\le l\le n-1$.*

From now on, we define

$\diamond$ $\theta(a(x))=a(x^{-1})=a(x^{n-1}) \pmod{x^n-1}$, $\forall a(x)\in\mathcal{A}=R[x]/\langle x^n-1\rangle$.

Then one can easily verify that θ is a ring automorphism on $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying $\theta^2=\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\theta(r)=r$ for any $r\in R$.

Lemma 3.3 *Using the notations in Lemma 2.3 and Section 2, in the ring $\mathcal{A}$ the following hold.*

(i) $\theta(\varepsilon_i(x)) = \varepsilon_i(x)$, $\theta(\mathcal{A}_i) = \mathcal{A}_i$ *and the restriction* $\theta|_{\mathcal{A}_i}$ *of* θ *on* $\mathcal{A}_i$ *is a ring automorphism on* $\mathcal{A}_i$*, for all* $0 \le i \le r+t$.

(ii) *For each* $0 \le i \le r+t$*, define* $\theta_i : A_i \to A_i$ *by* $\theta_i(b(x)) = b(x^{n-1}) \pmod{f_i(x)}$*. Then the following diagram for ring isomorphisms commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i & \xrightarrow{\theta_i} & A_i \\ \varphi_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_i \\ \mathcal{A}_i & \xrightarrow{\theta|_{\mathcal{A}_i}} & \mathcal{A}_i \end{array}$$

i.e., $\theta_i = \varphi_i^{-1}(\theta|_{\mathcal{A}_i})\varphi_i$*. Hence* $\theta_i^2 = \mathrm{id}_{A_i}$.

Proof. (i) As $f_i(x)$ is self-reciprocal, by Lemma 3.2 and the assumption of $f_i(x)$ it follows that $f_i(x) = \prod_{j\in J}(x-\omega^j)$, where $J = J_s^{(p^m)} \cup J_{-s}^{(p^m)}$ and $J_{-s}^{(p^m)} = \{-j \pmod n \mid j \in J_s^{(p^m)}\}$ for some $0 \le s \le n-1$. From this, by Equations (1) and the definition of $\varepsilon_i(x)$ in Section 2 we deduce that $\varepsilon_i(\omega^j) = 1$ if $j \in J$ and $\varepsilon_i(\omega^j) = 0$ otherwise, $0 \le j \le n-1$, which implies

$$\varepsilon_i(x) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(\sum_{j\in J}\omega^{-jk})x^k.$$

Then by $-J = J \pmod n$ and $x^n = 1$ in $\mathcal{A}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\varepsilon_i(x)) &= \varepsilon_i(x^{-1}) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(\sum_{j\in J}\omega^{-jk})x^{-k} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(\sum_{j\in J}\omega^{-(-j)(-k)})x^{-k} \\ &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k'=0}^{n-1}(\sum_{j'=-j,\ j\in J}\omega^{-j'k'})x^{k'} = \varepsilon_i(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\theta(\mathcal{A}_i) = \theta(\varepsilon_i(x)\mathcal{A}) = \theta(\varepsilon_i(x))\theta(\mathcal{A}) = \varepsilon_i(x)\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_i$. Therefore, the restriction $\theta|_{\mathcal{A}_i}$ of θ on $\mathcal{A}_i$ is a ring automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_i$.

(ii) By the definition of φ_i in Lemma 2.3(iii), we see that the inverse φ_i^{-1} of φ_i is a ring isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}_i$ onto A_i satisfying

$$\varphi_i^{-1}(w(x)) \equiv w(x) \pmod{f_i(x)}, \forall w(x) \in \mathcal{A}_i,$$

which implies $\varphi_i^{-1}(\varepsilon_i(x)) \equiv 1 - v_i(x)f_i(x) \equiv 1 \pmod{f_i(x)}$, i.e., $\varphi_i^{-1}(\varepsilon_i(x)) = 1$ in A_i. Then for any $a(x) \in A_i$, by (i) and Lemma 2.3(iii) it follows that

$$\varphi_i^{-1}(\theta|_{\mathcal{A}_i})\varphi_i(a(x)) = \varphi_i^{-1}(\theta|_{\mathcal{A}_i}(\varphi_i a(x))) = \varphi_i^{-1}(\theta|_{\mathcal{A}_i}(\varepsilon_i(x)a(x)))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \varphi_i^{-1}(\theta(\varepsilon_i(x))a(x^{-1})) = \varphi_i^{-1}(\varepsilon_i(x))\varphi_i^{-1}(a(x^{-1})) \\ &= a(x^{-1}) \pmod{f_i(x)} \\ &= \theta_i(a(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\theta_i = \varphi_i^{-1}(\theta|_{\mathcal{A}_i})\varphi_i$. □

Now, we define the skew polynomial ring over $\mathcal{A}$ with indeterminate y by

$\diamond$ $\mathcal{A}[y;\theta] = \{\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j y^j \mid \alpha_j \in \mathcal{A},\ j = 0, 1, \ldots, k,\ k = 0, 1, \ldots\}$, where the multiplication is determined by

$$ya(x) = \theta(a(x))y = a(x^{-1})y, \forall a(x) \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Since $y^2 a(x) = a(x)y^2$ for all $a(x) \in \mathcal{A}$, $y^2 - 1$ generates a two-sided ideal $\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$ of $\mathcal{A}[y;\theta]$. In this paper, we denote

$\diamond$ $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{A}[y;\theta]/\langle y^2 - 1\rangle = \{a(x) + b(x)y \mid a(x), b(x) \in \mathcal{A}\}$ $(y^2 = 1)$ which is the residue class ring of $\mathcal{A}[y;\theta]$ modulo its ideal $\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$.

Similarly, for each $0 \le i \le r + t$ by Lemma 3.3(ii) we can define the skew polynomial ring over A_i by

$\diamond$ $A_i[y;\theta_i] = \{\sum_{j=0}^{k} a_j(x) y^j \mid a_j(x) \in A_i,\ j = 0, 1, \ldots, k,\ k = 0, 1, \ldots\}$, where the multiplication is determined by

$$yb(x) = \theta_i(b(x))y = b(x^{n-1})y \pmod{f_i(x)}, \forall b(x) \in A_i.$$

As $f_i(x)$ is a minic divisor of $x^n - 1$ in $R[x]$, $x^{n-1} = x^{-1}$ in A_i and $y^2 - 1$ generates a two-sided ideal $\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$ of $A_i[y;\theta_i]$. In this paper, we denote

$\diamond$ $R_i = A_i[y;\theta_i]/\langle y^2 - 1\rangle = \{b_0(x) + b_1(x)y \mid b_0(x), b_1(x) \in A_i\}$ $(y^2 = 1)$ which is the residue class ring of $A_i[y;\theta_i]$ modulo its ideal $\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $0 \le i \le r + t$. We extend the ring isomorphism φ_i from A_i onto $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ to the following map*

$$b_0(x) + b_1(x)y \mapsto \varphi_i(b_0(x)) + \varphi_i(b_1(x))y = \varepsilon_i(x)(b_0(x) + b_1(x)y) \pmod{x^n - 1}$$

$(\forall b_0(x) + b_1(x)y \in R_i)$, and also denote this map by φ_i. Then

(i) *The map φ_i is an injective ring isomorphism from R_i to $\mathcal{R}$.*

(ii) *The mapping $\Phi : R_0 \times R_1 \times \ldots \times R_{r+t} \to \mathcal{R}$ defined by*

$$\Phi(\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{r+t}) = \sum_{i=0}^{r+t} \varphi_i(\beta_i)\ (\forall \beta_i \in R_i,\ i = 0, 1, \ldots, r + t)$$

is an isomorphism of rings.

Proof. (i) For any $b(x) \in A_i$, by Lemma 3.3(ii) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_i(yb(x)) &= \varphi_i(\theta_i(b(x)y)) = \varphi_i(\theta_i(b(x))y = (\varphi_i\theta_i)(b(x))y \\ &= (\theta|_{\mathcal{A}_i}\varphi_i)(b(x))y = \theta(\varphi_i(b(x)))y = y\varphi_i(b(x)).\end{aligned}$$

Since φ_i is a ring isomorphism from A_i onto $\mathcal{A}_i$ by Lemma 2.3(iii), we conclude that φ_i is an injective homomorphism of rings from R_i to $\mathcal{R}$.

(ii) By (i) and its proof, we conclude that Φ is a ring homomorphism from $R_0 \times R_1 \times \ldots \times R_{r+t}$ to R. For any $\beta_i = b_{i0}(x) + b_{i1}(x)y \in R_i$ where $b_{i0}(x), b_{i1}(x) \in A_i$, it is clear that $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{r+t}) \in \mathrm{Ker}(\Phi)$ if and only if $\sum_{i=0}^{r+t} \varphi_i(\beta_i) = 0$ in $\mathcal{R}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=0}^{r+t} \varphi_i(\beta_i) &= \sum_{i=0}^{r+t} \varepsilon_i(x)(b_{i0}(x) + b_{i1}(x)y) \ \ \text{(by (i))} \\ &= (\sum_{i=0}^{r+t} \varepsilon_i(x)b_{i0}(x)) + (\sum_{i=0}^{r+t} \varepsilon_i(x)b_{i0}(x))y\end{aligned}$$

and $\varepsilon_i(x)b_{i0}(x), \varepsilon_i(x)b_{i1}(x) \in \mathcal{A}_i$ by Lemma 2.3(iii). As $\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{i=0}^{r+t}\mathcal{A}_i$ by Lemma 2.3(ii), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=0}^{r+t} \varphi_i(\beta_i) = 0 &\iff \sum_{i=0}^{r+t} \varepsilon_i(x)b_{i0}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{r+t} \varepsilon_i(x)b_{i1}(x) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{A} \\ &\iff \varepsilon_i(x)b_{i0}(x) = \varepsilon_i(x)b_{i1}(x) = 0 \ (\forall i = 0, 1, \ldots, r+t),\end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_i(x)b_{i0}(x) = \varphi_i(b_{i0}(x)), \varepsilon_i(x)b_{i1}(x) = \varphi_i(b_{i1}(x)) \in \mathcal{A}_i$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r+t$. Since φ_i is a ring isomorphism from A_i onto $\mathcal{A}_i$ by Lemma 2.3(iii), we conclude that $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{r+t}) \in \mathrm{Ker}(\Phi)$ if and only if $b_{i0}(x) = b_{i1}(x) = 0$, i.e. $\beta_i = 0$, for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r+t$. So $\mathrm{Ker}(\Phi) = \{(0, 0, \ldots, 0)\}$ and hence Φ is injective. Moreover, by Lemma 2.3 (iii) and (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned}|R_0 \times R_1 \times \ldots \times R_{r+t}| &= \prod_{i=0}^{r+t} |R_i| = \prod_{i=0}^{r+t} |A_i|^2 = \prod_{i=0}^{r+t} |\mathcal{A}_i|^2 = (\prod_{i=0}^{r+t} |\mathcal{A}_i|)^2 \\ &= |\mathcal{A}|^2 = |\mathcal{R}|.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, φ_i is a ring isomorphism from R_i onto $\mathcal{R}$. □

Let $0 \leq i \leq r+t$ and C_i be an A_i-submodule of $A_i^2 = \{(b_0(x), b_1(x)) \mid b_0(x), b_1(x) \in A_i\}$. Recall that C_i is called a *skew θ_i-cyclic code* if

$$(\theta_i(b_1(x)), \theta_i(b_0(x))) \in C_i, \ \forall (b_0(x), b_1(x)) \in C_i.$$

From now on, we will identify each $(b_0(x), b_1(x)) \in C_i$ with $b_0(x) + b_1(x)y \in R_i = A_i[y; \theta_i]/\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$. Then it is clear that C_i is a skew θ_i-cyclic code over A_i of length 2 if and only if C_i is a left ideal of R_i (See [6, Theorem 1]). For more details on skew cyclic codes, readers are referred to [5]–[9] and [26].

Now, we give a direct sum decomposition for each left D_{2n}-code over R by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5 *For any $\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i,0}x^i + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i,1}x^i y \in R[D_{2n}]$ where $a_{i,0}, a_{i,1} \in R$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$, we identify α with $a_0(x) + a_1(x)y \in \mathcal{R}$ where $a_0(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i,0}x^i, a_1(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i,1}x^i \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the following statements are equivalent*:

(i) *$\mathcal{C}$ is a left D_{2n}-code over R;*

(ii) *$\mathcal{C}$ is a left ideal of the ring $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{A}[y; \theta]/\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$;*

(iii) *For each $0 \leq i \leq r+t$, there is a unique skew θ_i-cyclic code C_i over A_i of length 2 such that*

$$\mathcal{C} = \oplus_{i=0}^{r+t}(\mathcal{A}_i \square_{\varphi_i} C_i).$$

Therefore, $|\mathcal{C}| = \prod_{i=0}^{r+t} |C_i|$.

Proof. (i)$\Leftrightarrow$(ii). As $y^2 = 1$ and $yx = x^{-1}y$ in the group D_{2n}, we see that the identification of $R[D_{2n}]$ with $\mathcal{R}$ is a ring isomorphism. Hence $\mathcal{C}$ is a left ideal of $R[D_{2n}]$ if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ is a left ideal of $\mathcal{R}$.

(ii)$\Leftrightarrow$(iii). By Lemma 3.4(ii), we deduce that $\mathcal{C}$ is a left ideal of $\mathcal{R}$ if and only if for each $0 \leq i \leq r+t$, there is a unique left ideal C_i of R_i such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C} &= \Phi(C_0 \times C_1 \times \ldots \times C_{r+t}) = \oplus_{i=0}^{r+t} \varphi_i(C_i) \\
&= \oplus_{i=0}^{r+t} \{\varphi_i(b_{i,0}(x)) + \varphi_i(b_{i,0}(x))y \mid b_{i,0}(x) + b_{i,1}(x)y \in C_i\} \\
&= \oplus_{i=0}^{r+t} \{(\varphi_i(b_{i,0}(x)), \varphi_i(b_{i,0}(x)) \mid (b_{i,0}(x), b_{i,1}(x)) \in C_i\} \\
&= \oplus_{i=0}^{r+t} (\mathcal{A}_i \square_{\varphi_i} C_i).
\end{aligned}$$

In this case, we have $|\mathcal{C}| = |C_0 \times C_1 \times \ldots \times C_{r+t}| = \prod_{i=0}^{r+t} |C_i|$. □

By Lemma 3.5, in order to list all left D_{2n}-codes over R it is sufficiency to determine all left ideals of the ring $R_i = A_i[y; \theta_i]/\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$ where $A_i =$

$R[x]/\langle f_i(x)\rangle$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r+t$. Using the notations of Section 2, we have the following two cases:

(**I**) $0 \le i \le r$.

In this case, $f_i(x)$ is a monic basic irreducible and self-reciprocal polynomial in $R[x]$. By Lemma 2.2(i), $A_i = R[x]/\langle f_i(x)\rangle$ is a Galois ring of characteristic p^2 and cardinality p^{2md_i}, and there exists

$\diamond$ $\zeta_i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d_i-1} \zeta_{ij} x^j \in A_i^\times$ with $\zeta_{ij} \in R$ for all $0 \le j \le d_i - 1$ such that $\mathrm{ord}(\zeta_i(x)) = p^{md_i} - 1$ in A_i.

Hence each element β of A_i has a unique p-expansion:

$$\beta = b_0(x) + pb_1(x),\ b_0(x), b_1(x) \in \langle \zeta_i(x)\rangle \cup \{0\} \tag{3}$$

where $\langle \zeta_i(x)\rangle \cup \{0\}$ is a Teichmüller set of A_i with $\langle \zeta_i(x)\rangle = \{\zeta_i(x)^k \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, p^{md_i} - 2\} \subset A_i^\times$ (cf. [36] Theorem 14.27).

By [36] Section 14.6 and Equation (3), the *generalized Frobenius automorphism* ϕ_i of A_i over R is defined by

$$\phi_i(b_0(x) + pb_1(x)) = b_0(x)^{p^m} + pb_1(x)^{p^m},\ \forall b_0(x), b_1(x) \in \langle \zeta_i(x)\rangle \cup \{0\}. \tag{4}$$

The set of all automorphism of A_i over R form a group with respect to the map composition of maps, which is called the *Galois group* of A_i over R and is denoted by $\mathrm{Gal}(A_i/R)$. It is known that the multiplicative order of ϕ_i is equal to d_i and

$$\mathrm{Gal}(A_i/R) = \langle \phi_i\rangle = \{\phi_i^0, \phi_i, \phi_i^2, \ldots, \phi_i^{d_i-1}\}. \tag{5}$$

As $^-$ is a ring homomorphism from R onto $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ defined at the beginning of Section 2, it follows that $\overline{f}_i(x)$ is a monic irreducible and self-reciprocal polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$ of degree d_i. From now on, we denote

$\diamond$ $K_i = \mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]/\langle \overline{f}_i(x)\rangle$ and $\overline{\zeta}_i(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d_i-1} \overline{\zeta}_{ij} x^j \in K_i$ with $\overline{\zeta}_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$.

Then K_i is an extension field of $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ with degree d_i, which implies $|K_i| = p^{md_i}$. By Equation (3), the surjective ring homomorphism $^- : R \to \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ can be extended to a surjective homomorphism of rings from A_i onto K_i, which is also denoted by $^-$, as follows

$$\beta = b_0(x) + pb_1(x) \mapsto \overline{\beta} = \begin{cases} \overline{\zeta}_i(x)^k \pmod{\overline{f}_i(x)} & \text{if } b_0(x) = \zeta_i(x)^k; \\ 0 & \text{if } b_0(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

where $b_0(x), b_1(x) \in \langle \zeta_i(x) \rangle \cup \{0\}$. Hence $\overline{\zeta}_i(x)$ is a primitive element of K_i, i.e, $\mathrm{ord}(\overline{\zeta}_i(x)) = p^{md_i} - 1$. Therefore,

$$K_i = \{0\} \cup \{\overline{\zeta}_i(x)^k \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, p^{md_i} - 2\}.$$

By [36] Section 7.1, the *Frobenius automorphism* σ_i of K_i over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ is defined by

$$\sigma_i(\alpha) = \alpha^{p^m}, \ \forall \alpha \in K_i.$$

The set of all automorphism of K_i over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ form a group with respect to the map composition of maps, which is called the *Galois group* of K_i over $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ and is denoted by $\mathrm{Gal}(K_i/\mathbb{F}_{p^m})$. It is known that the multiplicative order of σ_i is equal to d_i and $\mathrm{Gal}(K_i/\mathbb{F}_{p^m}) = \langle \sigma_i \rangle = \{\sigma_i^0, \sigma_i, \sigma_i^2, \ldots, \sigma_i^{d_i - 1}\}$.

Lemma 3.6 *Using the notations above, we have the following conclusions.*

(i) (cf. [36] Theorem 14.32(iii)) *The following diagram commutes*:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i & \xrightarrow{\phi_i} & A_i \\ {}^{-}\downarrow & & \downarrow^{-} \\ K_i & \xrightarrow{\sigma_i} & K_i \end{array}.$$

(ii) *Let $d_i > 1$ where $1 \le i \le r$. Then d_i is even and $\theta_i = \phi_i^{\frac{d_i}{2}}$. Hence*

$$\theta_i(\xi) = \xi^{p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}}, \ \forall \xi \in \langle \zeta_i(x) \rangle \cup \{0\}.$$

(iii) *Let $d_i > 1$, $1 \le i \le r$, and $w(x) = \zeta_i(x)^{(p^{\frac{md_i}{2}} - 1)s}$ where $0 \le s \le p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}$. Then $w(x) \cdot \theta_i(w(x)) = 1$, i.e., $\theta_i(w(x)) = w(x)^{-1}$.*

Proof. (ii) As $d_i > 1$ and $1 \le i \le r$, by Lemma 3.2 there exists a lest positive integer j such that $i(p^m)^j \equiv -i \pmod{n}$, which implies $i(p^m)^{2j} \equiv -i(p^m)^j \equiv i \pmod{n}$, and so $d_i = \min\{l \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid i(p^m)^l \equiv i \pmod{n}\}$ being a divisor of $2j$. As $i(p^m)^j \equiv -i \pmod{n}$, d_i is not a divisor of j. Hence $d_i = 2j$.

As stated above, by Equation (5) we see that $\mathrm{Gal}(A_i/R)$ is a cyclic group generated by ϕ_i with even order d_i. Since θ_i is an automorphism of A_i over R of order 2, we conclude that $\theta_i = \phi_i^{\frac{d_i}{2}}$. From this and by Equation (4), we deduce that $\theta_i(\xi) = \phi_i^{\frac{d_i}{2}}(\xi) = \xi^{(p^m)^{\frac{d_i}{2}}} = \xi^{p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}}$ for all $\xi \in \langle \zeta_i(x) \rangle \cup \{0\}$.

(iii) It follows that $w(x) \cdot \theta_i(w(x)) = \zeta_i(x)^{((p^{\frac{md_i}{2}} - 1) + p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}(p^{\frac{md_i}{2}} - 1))s} = 1$, by (ii) and $\zeta_i(x)^{p^{md_i} - 1} = 1$. $\square$

In the following, we adopt the following notation.

$\diamond$ Let $\overline{\theta}_i : K_i \to K_i$ be defined by $\overline{\theta}_i(c(x)) = c(x^{n-1}) \pmod{\overline{f}_i(x)}$, for all $c(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d_i-1} c_j x^j \in K_i$ with $c_j \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$.

Then $\overline{\theta}_i$ is a ring automorphism of K_i such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i & \xrightarrow{\theta_i} & A_i \\ {}^{-}\downarrow & & \downarrow^{-} \\ K_i & \xrightarrow{\overline{\theta}_i} & K_i \end{array} \text{ , i.e., } \overline{\theta_i(\beta)} = \overline{\theta}_i(\overline{\beta}), \forall \beta \in A_i. \tag{6}$$

Moreover, by Lemma 3.6(ii) we deduce that $\overline{\theta}_i = \sigma_i^{\frac{d_i}{2}}$ when $d_i > 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$. Hence $\overline{\zeta}_i(x)^{(p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}-1)s} \cdot \overline{\theta}_i(\overline{\zeta}_i(x)^{(p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}-1)s}) = 1$ for any integer $0 \leq s \leq p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}$ by Lemma 3.6(iii).

$\diamond$ Let $K_i[y; \overline{\theta}_i]$ be the skew polynomial ring over the finite field K_i determined by $\overline{\theta}_i$.

$\diamond$ Denote $\Gamma_i = K_i[y; \overline{\theta}_i]/\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$ which is the residue class ring of $K_i[y; \overline{\theta}_i]$ modulo its two-sided ideal $\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$ generated by $y^2 - 1$.

Then we extend the surjective ring homomorphism ${}^{-} : A_i \to K_i$ to a map from R_i onto Γ_i, which is denoted by ${}^{-}$ as well, by the natural way:

$$\overline{\beta_0 + \beta_1 y} = \overline{\beta}_0 + \overline{\beta}_1 y, \ \forall \beta_0, \beta_1 \in A_i.$$

For any $\beta \in A_i$, by Equation (6) it follows that

$$\overline{y\beta} = \overline{\theta_i(\beta)y} = \overline{\theta_i(\beta)}y = \overline{\theta}_i(\overline{\beta})y = y\overline{\beta}.$$

From this, it can be verify easily that ${}^{-}$ is a surjective ring homomorphism from R_i onto $\Gamma_i = K_i[y; \overline{\theta}_i]/\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$.

By $\mathcal{W}_i = \{\zeta_i(x)^{(p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}-1)s} \mid 0 \leq s \leq p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}\} \subseteq A_i^{\times}$ (see Section 2), we have

$\diamond$ $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_i = \{\overline{w}(x) \mid w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i\} = \{\overline{\zeta}_i(x)^{(p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}-1)s} \mid 0 \leq s \leq p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}\} \subseteq K_i^{\times}$.

Then $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_i$ is the unique subgroup of $K_i^{\times}$ with order $p^{\frac{md_i}{2}} + 1$.

For ideals of $\Gamma_i = K_i[y; \overline{\theta}_i]/\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$, we known the following conclusion.

Lemma 3.7 *Let $d_i > 1$. We have the following conclusions*:

(i) (cf. [18, Theorem 3.3]) *Using the notations above, all distinct left ideals of the ring $\Gamma_i = \mathbb{F}_{p^m}[y; \overline{\theta}_i]/\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$ are given by the following*:

$$\langle 0\rangle, \ \Gamma_i, \ \Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x) + y) \text{ where } w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i.$$

Therefore, the number of left ideals of Γ_i *is equal to* $p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}+3$.

(ii) (cf. [18, Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.5]) *For any* $w(x)\in\mathcal{W}_i$, $\Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x)+y)$ *is a minimal left ideal of* Γ_i, $|\Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x)+y)|=p^{md_i}$, *the minimum Hamming weight* $\mathrm{w}_H^{(K_i)}(\Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x)+y))$ *of* $\Gamma_i(\overline{\zeta}_i(x)^{(p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}-1)s}+y)$ *over* K_i *is equal to* 2 *and* $\Gamma_i=\Gamma_i(\overline{w}_1(x)+y)\oplus\Gamma_i(\overline{w}_2(x)+y)$ *for any* $w_1(x),w_2(x)\in\mathcal{W}_i$ *satisfying* $w_1(x)\neq w_2(x)$.

Using the notations above, we give the following conclusions for left ideals of the ring $R_i=A_i[y;\theta_i]/\langle y^2-1\rangle$.

Theorem 3.8 *Let* $0\leq i\leq r$ *and* $\deg(f_i(x))=d_i=1$. *Then* $R_i=R[y]/\langle y^2-1\rangle$ *which is a commutative ring.*

(i) (cf. [32] Definition 4.1 and Theorem 4.4)*If* p *is odd, there are* 9 *distinct ideals of* R_i *which are given by*: $\{0\}$, R_ip, $R_ip(y-1)$, $R_ip(y+1)$, R_i, $R_i(y-1)$, $R_i(y+1)$, $R_i(y-1)+R_ip$, $R_i(y+1)+R_ip$.

Moreover, we have $|\{0\}|=1$, $|R_i|=p^{4m}$, $|R_ip(y-1)|=|R_ip(y+1)|=p^m$, $|R_i(y-1)|=|R_i(y+1)|=p^{2m}$, $|R_i(y-1)+R_ip|=|R_i(y+1)+R_ip|=p^{3m}$.

(ii) (cf. [28] Theorem 3.8) *If* $p=2$, *there are* 2^m+5 *distinct ideals of* R_i *which are given by*: $\{0\}$, $2R_i$, $2R_i(y-1)$, R_i, $R_i(y-1)+2R_i$, $R_i((y-1)+2u)$ with $u\in\mathcal{T}$, *where* $\mathcal{T}$ *is a Teichmüller set of* R.

Moreover, we have $|\{0\}|=1$, $|R_i|=2^{4m}$, $|2R_i|=|R_i((y-1)+2u)|=2^{2m}$, $|2R_i(y-1)|=2^m$ *and* $|R_i(y-1)+2R_i|=2^{3m}$.

Theorem 3.9 *Let* $0\leq i\leq r$ *and* $\deg(f_i(x))=d_i\geq 2$. *Then all skew* θ_i*-cyclic code* C_i *over* A_i *of length* 2, *i.e., all left ideals of the ring* $R_i=A_i[y;\theta_i]/\langle y^2-1\rangle$, *are given by the following table*:

case	N_i	C_i (left ideals of R_i)	$\lvert C_i\rvert$	d
(1)	1	$\diamond\ \{0\}$	0	0
(2)	2	$\diamond\ R_ip^j\quad(j=0,1)$	$p^{2(2-j)md_i}$	1
(3)	$p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}+1$	$\diamond\ R_ip(w(x)+y)\quad(w(x)\in\mathcal{W}_i)$	p^{md_i}	2
(4)	$p^{md_i}+p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}$	$\diamond\ R_i(w(x)(1+p\vartheta(x))+y)$ $(w(x)\in\mathcal{W}_i,\ \vartheta(x)\in\mathcal{V}_i)$	p^{2md_i}	2
(5)	$p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}+1$	$\diamond\ R_i(w(x)+y)+R_ip\quad(w(x)\in\mathcal{W}_i)$	p^{3md_i}	1

where N_i *is the number of ideals in the same line of the table,* $d=\mathrm{w}_H^{(A_i)}(C_i)$ *is the minimum Hamming weight of* C_i *as a linear code over* A_i *of length* 2.

Therefore, the number of left ideals in R_i is equal to $p^{md_i} + 3p^{\frac{md_i}{2}} + 5$.

Proof. For any nonzero left ideal C of R_i, let $\overline{C} = \{\overline{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in C\}$. Then it is clear that $\overline{C}$ is a left ideal of Γ_i and $\tau_i : \alpha \mapsto \overline{\alpha}$ $(\forall \alpha \in C)$ is an A_i-linear homomorphism from C onto $\overline{C}$. Hence $\mathrm{Im}(\tau_i) = \overline{C}$. Let $(C : p) = \{\alpha \in R_i \mid p\alpha \in C\}$. It is known that $(C : p)$ is a left ideal of R_i satisfying $C \subseteq (C : p)$, which implies that $\overline{(C : p)}$ is a left ideal of Γ_i satisfying $\overline{C} \subseteq \overline{(C : p)}$. Moreover, by $\mathrm{Ker}(\tau_i) = \{\alpha \in C \mid \overline{\alpha} = 0\} = p\overline{(C : p)}$ it follows that

$$|C| = |\mathrm{Im}(\tau_i)||\mathrm{Ker}(\tau_i)| = |\overline{C}||\overline{(C : p)}|. \tag{7}$$

Then by Lemma 3.7, we have one of the following cases.

(i) $\overline{C} = \{0\}$. As $C \neq \{0\}$, by (7) it follows that $\overline{(C : p)}$ is a nonzero left ideal of Γ_i. Then by Lemma 3.7 we have one of the following subcases:

(i-1) $\overline{(C : p)} = \Gamma_i$. Then $C \subseteq R_i p$ and $1 \in \overline{(C : p)}$. The latter implies $1 + p\alpha \in (C : p)$ for some $\alpha \in R_i$. Then by $p^2 = 0$ and the definition of $(C : p)$, we have $p = p(1 + p\alpha) \in C$, which implies $R_i p \subseteq C$. Hence $C = R_i p$.

By Lemma 3.7(ii) and Equation (7), we deduce that $|C| = |\Gamma_i| = p^{2md_i}$ and $\mathrm{w}_H^{(A_i)}(C) = \mathrm{w}_H^{(K_i)}(\overline{(C : p)}) = \mathrm{w}_H^{(K_i)}(\Gamma_i) = 1$.

(i-2) $\overline{(C : p)} = \Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x) + y)$ where $w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i$. Then $\overline{w(x) + y} = \overline{w}(x) + y \in \overline{(C : p)}$, which implies $w(x) + y + p\alpha \in (C : p)$ for some $\alpha \in R_i$, and so $p(w(x) + y) = p(w(x) + y + p\alpha) \in C$. Hence $R_i p(w(x) + y) \subseteq C$.

Conversely, let $\xi \in C$. By $\overline{C} = \{0\}$, there exists $\beta \in R_i$ such that $\xi = p\beta$, which implies $\beta \in (C : p)$, and so $\overline{\beta} \in \overline{(C : p)}$. Then there exists $\gamma, \delta \in R_i$ such that $\beta = \gamma(w(x) + y + p\alpha) + p\delta$, which implies

$$\xi = p(\gamma(w(x) + y + p\alpha) + p\delta) = \gamma \cdot p(w(x) + y) \in R_i p(w(x) + y).$$

Hence $C \subseteq R_i p(w(x) + y)$ and so $C = R_i p(w(x) + y)$.

Then by Lemma 3.7(ii) and Equation (7), we have that $|C| = |\Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x) + y)| = p^{md_i}$ and $\mathrm{w}_H^{(A_i)}(C) = \mathrm{w}_H^{(K_i)}(\overline{(C : p)}) = \mathrm{w}_H^{(K_i)}(\Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x) + y)) = 2$.

(ii) $\overline{C} = \Gamma_i$. Then $1 + p\alpha \in C$ for some $\alpha \in R_i$. As $C \subseteq (C : p)$, we have $\overline{(C : p)} = \Gamma_i$. From this and by the proof of (i-2), we know that $p \in C$. Hence $1 = (1 + 1 + p\alpha) - \alpha \cdot p \in C$. Therefore $C = R_i = R_i p^0$ and hence $|C| = |R_i| = p^{4md_i}$. It is obvious that $\mathrm{w}_H^{(A_i)}(C) = 1$ in this case.

(iii) $\overline{C} = \Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x) + y)$ where $w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i$. By $\overline{(y + w(x))} = \overline{w}(x) + y \in C$, there exists $\alpha \in R_i$ such that $y + w(x) + p\alpha \in C$. As $\overline{C} \subseteq \overline{(C : p)}$, by Lemma 3.8(ii) we have one of the following two subcases:

(iii-1) $\overline{(C:p)} = \Gamma_i$. In this case, by the proof of (i-1), we know that $p \in C$. Hence $y+w(x) = (y+w(x)+p\alpha) - \alpha \cdot p \in C$, and so $R_i(y+w(x))+R_ip \subseteq C$.

Conversely, let $\xi \in C$. By $\overline{\xi} \in \overline{C} = \Gamma_i(y+\overline{w}(x))$, there exists $\gamma, \delta \in R_i$ such that $\xi = \gamma(y+w(x)) + p\delta \in R_i(y+w(x)) + R_ip$. Hence $C \subseteq R_i(y+w(x)) + R_ip \subseteq C$ and so $C = R_i(y+w(x)) + R_ip$.

Moreover, by Lemma 3.7(ii) and Equation (7) it follows that $|C| = |\Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x)+y)||\Gamma_i| = p^{3md_i}$ and $\mathrm{w}_H^{(A_i)}(C) = \mathrm{w}_H^{(K_i)}(\overline{(C:p)}) = \mathrm{w}_H^{(K_i)}(\Gamma_i) = 1$.

(iii-2) $\overline{(C:p)} = \Gamma_i((\overline{w}(x)+y)$. Then by the proof of (i-2), we know that $p(\overline{w}(x)+y) = p(y+w(x)) \in C$. As $\alpha \in R_i$, there exist $a(x), b(x) \in A_i$ such that $\alpha = a(x) + b(x)y$. Denote

$$\tau(x) \equiv a(x) - b(x)w(x) \pmod{p}, \text{ where } \tau(x) \in \overline{A}_i = K_i.$$

Then $p\tau(x) = p(a(x) - b(x)w(x))$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} y + w(x) + p\tau(x) &= y + w(x) + p(a(x) + b(x)y) - p(b(x)y + b(x)w(x)) \\ &= (y + w(x) + p\alpha) - b(x) \cdot p(y + w(x)) \in C. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $R_i(y + w(x) + p\tau(x)) \subseteq C$.

Conversely, let $\xi \in C$. By $\overline{C} = \Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x)+y) = \overline{R_i(y+w(x)+p\tau(x))}$, there exist $\gamma, \delta \in R_i$ such that $\xi = \gamma(y + w(x) + p\tau(x)) + p\delta$, which implies $p\delta = \xi - \gamma(y + w(x) + p\tau(x)) \in C$, i.e., $\delta \in (C : p)$. From this and by $\overline{(C:p)} = \Gamma_i((\overline{w}(x)+y)$, we deduce that $\delta = \rho(y + w(x)) + p\eta$ for some $\rho, \eta \in R_i$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \gamma(y + w(x) + p\tau(x)) + p(\rho(y + w(x)) + p\eta) \\ &= \gamma(y + w(x) + p\tau(x)) + \rho \cdot p((y + w(x) + p\tau(x)) \\ &= (\gamma + p\rho)(y + w(x) + p\tau(x)) \in R_i(y + w(x) + p\tau(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $C = R_i(y + w(x) + p\tau(x))$.

Suppose that $C = R_i(y + w(x) + p\nu(x))$ for some $\nu(x) \in K_i$ as well. Then $p(\tau(x) - \nu(x)) = (y + w(x) + p\tau(x)) - (y + w(x) + p\nu(x)) \in C$, which implies $\tau(x) - \nu(x) \in \overline{(C:p)} = \Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x)+y)$ with $\vartheta(x) - \nu(x) \in K_i$. Since $\mathrm{w}_H^{(K_i)}(\Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x)+y)) = 2$ by Lemma 3.7(ii), we have $\tau(x) - \nu(x) = 0$, i.e., $\tau(x) = \nu(x)$ in K_i.

By Lemma 3.6(iii), we see that $w(x) \cdot \theta_i(w(x)) = 1$, i.e., $\theta_i(w(x)) = w(x)^{-1}$. From this and by $p^2 = 0$, we deduce that $(\theta_i(w(x)) + p\theta_i(\tau(x)))^{-1} =$

$w(x)^2\left(\theta_i(w(x)) - p\theta_i(\tau(x))\right)$. As C is a left ideal of R_i and $y^2 = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & y + w(x) + p(-\overline{w}(x)^2\theta_i(\tau(x))) \\ = \;& y + w(x)^2\left(\theta_i(w(x)) - p\theta_i(\tau(x))\right) \\ = \;& \left(\theta_i(w(x)) + p\theta_i(\tau(x))\right)^{-1}\left(\left(\theta_i(w(x)) + p\theta_i\tau(x)\right)y + 1\right) \\ = \;& \left(\theta_i(w(x)) + p\theta_i(\tau(x))\right)^{-1} y \cdot (w(x) + p\vartheta(x) + y) \in C, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $-\overline{w}(x)^2\theta_i(\tau(x)) = \tau(x)$ in K_i, i.e.,

$$\overline{\theta}_i\left(\overline{\theta}_i(\overline{w}(x))\tau(x)\right) + \overline{\theta}_i(\overline{w}(x))\tau(x) = \overline{w}(x)\overline{\theta}_i(\tau(x)) + \overline{\theta}_i(\overline{w}(x))\tau(x) = 0$$

since $\overline{\theta}_i(\overline{w}(x)) = \overline{w}(x)^{-1}$. Denote $\vartheta(x) = \overline{\theta}_i(\overline{w}(x))\tau(x) \in K_i$. Then $\tau(x) = \overline{w}(x)\vartheta(x)$ and $p\tau(x) = pw(x)\vartheta(x)$ where $\vartheta(x)$ satisfies the following equation

$$\vartheta(x)^{p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}} + \vartheta(x) = \overline{\theta}_i(\vartheta(x)) + \vartheta(x) = 0, \tag{8}$$

as $\overline{\theta}_i(\xi) = \sigma_i^{\frac{d_i}{2}}(\xi) = \xi^{p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}}$ for all $\xi \in K_i$.

$\diamondsuit$ Let $p = 2$. Then Equation (8) is equivalent to $(\vartheta(x)^{2^{\frac{md_i}{2}}-1} - 1)\vartheta(x) = 0$. Since $\overline{\zeta}_i(x)$ is a primitive element of the finite field K_i with multiplicative order $2^{md_i} - 1$, in this case Equation (8) has exactly $2^{\frac{md_i}{2}}$ solutions in K_i: $\vartheta(x) \in \mathcal{V}_i$ where $\mathcal{V}_i = \{0\} \cup \{\overline{\zeta}_i(x)^{(2^{\frac{md_i}{2}}+1)l} \mid l = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^{\frac{md_i}{2}} - 2\}$.

$\diamondsuit$ Let p be an odd prime. Since $\overline{\zeta}_i(x)$ is a primitive element of the finite field K_i with multiplicative order $p^{md_i} - 1$, we have $\overline{\zeta}_i(x)^{\frac{p^{md_i}-1}{2}} = -1$. Then Equation (8) is equivalent to $\vartheta(x) = 0$ or

$$\vartheta(x)^{p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}-1} - \overline{\zeta}_i(x)^{\frac{p^{md_i}-1}{2}} = \vartheta(x)^{p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}-1} + 1 = 0. \tag{9}$$

Let $\vartheta(x) = \overline{\zeta}_i(x)^k$ where $0 \le k \le p^{md_i} - 2$. Then Equation (9) is equivalent to $k(p^{\frac{md_i}{2}} - 1) \equiv \frac{p^{md_i}-1}{2} \pmod{p^{md_i} - 1}$, i.e.,

$$k \equiv \frac{1}{2}(p^{\frac{md_i}{2}} + 1) \pmod{p^{\frac{md_i}{2}} + 1}.$$

Therefore, Equation (8) has exactly $p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}$ solutions in K_i: $\vartheta(x) \in \mathcal{V}_i$ where $\mathcal{V}_i = \{0\} \cup \{\overline{\zeta}_i(x)^{\frac{1}{2}(p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}+1)+(p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}+1)l} \mid l = 0, 1, \ldots, p^{\frac{md_i}{2}} - 2\}$.

As stated above, we conclude that $C = R_i(y + w(x) + p\tau(x)) = R_i(y + w(x)(1 + p\vartheta(x)))$ is uniquely determined by the pair $(w(x), \vartheta(x))$ of polynomials where $w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i$ and $\vartheta(x) \in \mathcal{V}_i$. Hence the number of left ideals is equal to $(p^{\frac{md_i}{2}} + 1)p^{\frac{md_i}{2}} = p^{md_i} + p^{\frac{md_i}{2}}$ in this case.

Then by Lemma 3.7(ii) and Equation (7), we have $|C| = |\Gamma_i(\overline{\zeta}_i(x)^k + y)|^2 = p^{2md_i}$ and $\mathrm{w}_H^{(A_i)}(C) = \mathrm{w}_H^{(K_i)}(\overline{(C : p)}) = \mathrm{w}_H^{(K_i)}(\Gamma_i(\overline{\zeta}_i(x)^k + y)) = 2$. $\square$

(**II**) $r + 1 \le i \le t$.

In this case, $f_i(x) = \rho_i(x)\rho_i^*(x)$ where $\rho_i(x)$ is a monic basic irreducible polynomial such that $\rho_i(x)$ and $\rho_i^*(x)$ are coprime in $R[x]$. Using the notations in Section 2, we have $A_i = R[x]/\langle f_i(x)\rangle$ which is an extension commutative ring of R and $|A_i| = |R|^{2d_i} = (p^{2m})^{2d_i} = p^{4md_i}$.

As $^-$ is a ring homomorphism from R onto $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ defined in Section 2, we see that $\overline{\rho}_i(x)$ is a mionic irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$ of degree d_i, the reciprocal polynomial of $\overline{\rho}_i(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$ is given by $\overline{\rho}_i^*(x) = \overline{\rho_i^*(x)}$. Then $\overline{\rho}_i^*(x)$ is also a mionic irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$ of degree d_i satisfying $\gcd(\overline{\rho}_i(x), \overline{\rho}_i^*(x)) = 1$. Hence $\overline{f}_i(x) = \overline{\rho}_i(x)\overline{\rho}_i^*(x)$ which is a monic self-reciprocal polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$ of degree $2d_i$. In the following, let

$\diamond$ $K_i = \mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]/\langle \overline{f}_i(x)\rangle = \mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]/\langle \overline{\rho}_i(x)\overline{\rho}_i^*(x)\rangle$.

Then we extend the surjective homomorphism of rings $^- : R \to \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ to a surjective homomorphism of rings from A_i onto K_i, which is also denoted by $^-$, in the natural way:

$$^- : \sum_{j=0}^{2d_i-1} \beta_j x^j \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^{2d_i-1} \overline{\beta}_j x^j \ (\forall \beta_j \in A_i,\ j = 0, 1, \ldots, 2d_i - 1).$$

By Equation (2) in Section 2 and the definitions of $\epsilon_{i,1}(x)$ and $\epsilon_{i,2}(x)$ in Theorem 2.5(II), from classical ring theory we deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 3.10 (cf. [37] Theorem 2.7 and its proof) *Using the notations above, we have the following conclusions*:

(i) $\epsilon_{i,1}(x)^2 = \epsilon_{i,1}(x)$, $\epsilon_{i,2}(x)^2 = \epsilon_{i,2}(x)$, $\epsilon_{i,1}(x)\epsilon_{i,2}(x) = 0$ *and* $\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + \epsilon_{i,2}(x) = 1$ *in* A_i.

(ii) $A_i = A_{i,1} \oplus A_{i,2}$, *where* $A_{i,j} = A_i\epsilon_{i,j}(x)$ *for* $j = 1, 2$.

(iii) *The map* $\chi : \Upsilon_{i,1} \times \Upsilon_{i,2} \to A_i$ *defined by*

$$\chi(g_1(x), g_2(x)) = \epsilon_{i,1}(x)g_1(x) + \epsilon_{i,2}(x)g_2(x) \pmod{f_i(x)},\ \forall g_j(x) \in \Upsilon_{i,j}$$

is a ring isomorphism where $\Upsilon_{i,1} = R[x]/\langle \rho_i(x)\rangle$ *and* $\Upsilon_{i,2} = R[x]/\langle \rho_i^*(x)\rangle$.

(iv) $\theta_i(\epsilon_{i,1}(x)) = \epsilon_{i,2}(x)$ *and* $\theta_i(\epsilon_{i,2}(x)) = \epsilon_{i,1}(x)$. *Then* θ_i *induces a ring isomorphism between* $A_{i,1}$ *and* $A_{i,2}$. *Hence* θ_i *is a ring automorphism of* A_i *with multiplicative order* 2.

By Lemma 2.2(ii), $\Upsilon_{i,1}$ is a Galois ring of characteristic p^2 and cardinality p^{2md_i} and there exists

$$\zeta_i(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{d_i-1} \zeta_{ik}x^k \in \Upsilon_{i,1}^{\times} \text{ with } \zeta_{ik} \in R \text{ for all } k$$

such that $\mathrm{ord}(\zeta_i(x)) = p^{md_i} - 1$. Then every element of $\Upsilon_{i,1}$ has a unique p-expansion: $a_0(x)+pa_1(x)$ where $a_0(x), a_1(x) \in \{0\}\cup\{\zeta_i(x)^l \mid l = 0, 1, \ldots, p^{md_i}-1\} \pmod{\rho_i(x)}$. Let

$\diamond$ $\overline{\theta}_i : K_i \to K_i$ be defined by

$$\overline{\theta}_i(c(x)) = c(x^{n-1}) \pmod{\overline{f}_i(x)},\ \forall c(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d_i-1} c_j x^j \in K_i \text{ with } c_j \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}.$$

As $\overline{f}_i(x) \mid (x^n - 1)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$, $\overline{\theta}_i$ is a ring automorphism of K_i such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i & \xrightarrow{\theta_i} & A_i \\ {}^{-}\downarrow & & \downarrow^{-} \\ K_i & \xrightarrow{\overline{\theta}_i} & K_i \end{array} \text{ , i.e., } \overline{\theta_i(\beta)} = \overline{\theta}_i(\overline{\beta}), \forall \beta \in A_i. \tag{10}$$

Lemma 3.11 *Using the notations above, denote* $\pi_{i,j} = \overline{\epsilon}_{i,j}(x) \in K_i$ *and* $K_{i,j} = K_i\pi_{i,j}$ *for* $j = 1, 2$. *Then we have the following conclusions*:

(i) $\pi_{i,1}^2 = \pi_{i,1}$, $\pi_{i,2}^2 = \pi_{i,2}$, $\pi_{i,1}\pi_{i,2} = 0$ *and* $\pi_{i,1}\pi_{i,2} = 1$ *in* K_i.

(ii) $K_i = K_{i,1} \oplus K_{i,2}$ *where* $K_{i,j} = \overline{A}_{i,j} = K_i\pi_{i,j}$ *for* $j = 1, 2$.

(iii) $K_{i,1} = \{0\} \cup \{\overline{\epsilon}_{i,1}(x)\overline{\zeta}_i(x)^k \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, p^{md_i} - 2\}$ *and* $K_{i,2} = \{0\} \cup \{\overline{\epsilon}_{i,2}(x)\overline{\zeta}_i(x^{-1})^k \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, p^{md_i} - 2\}$, *which are both finite fields of cardinality* p^{md_i}.

(iv) $\overline{\theta}_i(\pi_{i,1}) = \pi_{i,2}$ *and* $\overline{\theta}_i(\pi_{i,2}) = \pi_{i,1}$. *Then* $\overline{\theta}_i$ *induces a field isomorphism between* $K_{i,1}$ *and* $K_{i,2}$. *Hence* $\overline{\theta}_i$ *is a ring automorphism of* K_i *with multiplicative order* 2.

Proof. (i), (ii) and (iv) follow from Lemma 3.10 (i), (ii) and (iv), respectively.

(iii) As $\zeta_i(x) \in \Upsilon_{i,1}^{\times}$ and $\mathrm{ord}(\zeta_i(x)) = p^{md_i} - 1$ by Lemma 2.2(ii), every element of $\Upsilon_{i,1}$ has a unique p-expansion: $b_0(x) + pb_1(x)$, $b_0(x), b_1(x) \in \mathcal{T}_{i,1}$ where $\mathcal{T}_{i,1} = \{0\} \cup \{\zeta_i(x)^k \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, p^{md_i} - 2\}$. From this, by Lemma 3.10 (ii) and (iii), we deduce that $A_{i,1} = \{\epsilon_{i,1}(x)b_0(x) + p\epsilon_{i,1}(x)b_1(x) \mid b_0(x), b_1(x) \in \mathcal{T}_{i,1}\} \pmod{f_i(x)}$, which implies

$$\begin{aligned} K_{i,1} &= \overline{A}_{i,1} = \{\overline{\epsilon}_{i,1}(x)\overline{b}_0(x) \mid b_0(x) \in \mathcal{T}_{i,1}\} \\ &= \{0\} \cup \{\overline{\epsilon}_{i,1}(x)\overline{\zeta}_i(x)^k \mid 0 \le k \le p^{md_i} - 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $K_{i,2} = \overline{\theta}_i(K_{i,1}) = \overline{\theta_i(A_{i,1})} = \{0\} \cup \{\overline{\epsilon}_{i,2}(x)\overline{\zeta}_i(x^{-1})^k \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, p^{md_i} - 2\}$ by Lemma 3.10(iv). □

Now, let $K_i[y; \overline{\theta}_i]$ be the skew polynomial ring over the finite commutative ring K_i determined by $\overline{\theta}_i$ and denote

$\diamond$ $\Gamma_i = K_i[y; \overline{\theta}_i]/\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$ which is the residue class ring of $K_i[y; \overline{\theta}_i]$ modulo its two-sided ideal $\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$ generated by $y^2 - 1$.

Then we extend the surjective ring homomorphism $^- : A_i \to K_i$ to the a map from R_i onto Γ_i, denoted by $^-$ as well, by the natural way:

$$\overline{\beta_0 + \beta_1 y} = \overline{\beta}_0 + \overline{\beta}_1 y, \ \forall \beta_0, \beta_1 \in A_i.$$

For any $\beta \in A_i$, by Equation (10) it follows that

$$\overline{y\beta} = \overline{\theta_i(\beta)y} = \overline{\theta_i(\beta)}y = \overline{\theta}_i(\overline{\beta})y = y\overline{\beta}.$$

From this, it can be verify easily that $^-$ is a surjective ring homomorphism from R_i onto Γ_i.

As $U_{i,1} = \{0\} \cup \{\epsilon_{i,1}(x)\zeta_i(x)^k \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, p^{md_i} - 2\}$ and $\mathcal{W}_i = \{u(x) + \frac{1}{u(x^{-1})} \mid 0 \ne u(x) \in U_{i,1}\}$ (see Theorem 2.5(II)), we have that

$\diamond$ $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_i = \{\overline{u}(x) + \frac{1}{\overline{u}(x^{-1})} \mid \overline{u}(x) = \overline{\epsilon}_{i,1}(x)\overline{\zeta_i}(x)^k, 0 \le k \le p^{md_i} - 2\} \subseteq K_i^{\times}$.

Obviously, $|\mathcal{W}_i| = |\overline{\mathcal{W}}_i| = p^{md_i} - 1$.

For ideals of $\Gamma_i = K_i[y; \overline{\theta}_i]/\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$, we have the following conclusions.

Lemma 3.12 *Let $r + 1 \le i \le t$. We have the following conclusions*:

(i) (cf. [18] Theorem 4.2) *all distinct left ideals of $\Gamma_i = K_i[y; \overline{\theta}_i]/\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$ are given by*:

$\{0\}$, Γ_i, $\Gamma_i\overline{\epsilon}_{i,1}$, $\Gamma_i\overline{\epsilon}_{i,2}$, $\Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x) + y)$ *where* $w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i$.

Moreover, we have the following properties for nonzero left ideals of Γ_i:

(i-1) $|\Gamma_i\overline{\epsilon}_{i,j}(x)| = p^{2md_i}$ *and* $\mathrm{wt}_H^{(K_i)}(\Gamma_i\overline{\epsilon}_{i,j}(x)) = 1$ *for* $j = 1, 2$; $|\Gamma_i| = p^{4md_i}$ *and* $\mathrm{wt}_H^{(K_i)}(\Gamma_i) = 1$.

(i-2) $|J| = p^{2md_i}$ *and* $\mathrm{wt}_H^{(K_i)}(J) = 2$ *for any* $J = \Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x) + y)$ *with* $w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i$.

(ii) *Let* $\mathcal{L} = \{\Gamma_i\overline{\epsilon}_{i,j}(x) \mid j = 1, 2\} \cup \{\Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x) + y) \mid w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i\}$. *Then for any* $J_1, J_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ *satisfying* $J_1 \neq j_2$, *we have* $\Gamma_i = J_1 \oplus J_2$. *Hence every left ideal of* Γ_i *contained in* $\mathcal{L}$ *is a minimal left ideal of* Γ_i.

Proof. (ii) Let $J_1, J_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ satisfying $J_1 \neq J_2$. Then $|J_1| = |J_2| = p^{2md_i}$ by (i). If $J_1 \cap J_2 = \{0\}$, we have $|J_1 + J_2| = |J_1||J_2| = p^{4md_i} = |\Gamma_i|$, which implies $J_1 + J_2 = \Gamma_i$, and hence $\Gamma_i = J_1 \oplus J_2$. Suppose that $J_1 \cap J_2 \neq \{0\}$. Since $J_1 \cap J_2$ is also a left ideal of Γ_i satisfying $J_1 \cap J_2 \subseteq J_s$ for all $s = 1, 2$, by (i) it follows that $|J_1 \cap J_2| = p^{2md_i}$, which implies $J_1 = J_2$, and we get a contradiction. Therefore, $\Gamma_i = J_1 \oplus J_2$. □

Now, we list all left ideals of the ring $R_i = A_i[y; \theta_i]/\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$ as follows.

Theorem 3.13 *Let* $r + 1 \leq i \leq t$. *Using the notations above, all skew* θ_i*-cyclic code* C_i *over* A_i *of length* 2, *i.e., all left ideals of the ring* $R_i = A_i[y; \theta_i]/\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$, *are given by the following table*:

case	N_i	C_i (left ideals of R_i)	$\lvert C_i\rvert$	d
(1)	1	$\diamond\ \{0\}$	0	0
(2)	2	$\diamond\ R_ip^j \quad (j = 0, 1)$	$p^{4(2-j)md_i}$	1
(3)	2	$\diamond\ R_ip\epsilon_{i,j}(x) \quad (j = 1, 2)$	p^{2md_i}	1
(4)	$p^{md_i} - 1$	$\diamond\ R_ip(w(x) + y) \quad (w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i)$	p^{2md_i}	2
(5)	2	$\diamond\ R_i\epsilon_{i,j}(x) + R_ip \quad (j = 1, 2)$	p^{6md_i}	1
(6)	$2p^{md_i}$	$\diamond\ R_i(\epsilon_{i,j}(x) + pb_{i,j}(x)y)$ $(b_{i,j} \in K_{i,j},\ j = 1, 2)$	p^{4md_i}	1
(7)	$p^{md_i} - 1$	$\diamond\ R_i(w(x) + y) + R_ip \quad (w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i)$	p^{6md_i}	1
(8)	$p^{2md_i} - p^{md_i}$	$\diamond\ R_i(w(x) + p\vartheta(x) + y)$ $(\vartheta(x) \in \mathcal{V}_i^{(w(x))},\ w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i)$	p^{4md_i}	2

where N_i *is the number of left ideals in the same line of the table,* $d = \mathrm{w}_H^{(A_i)}(C_i)$ *is the minimum Hamming weight of* C_i *as a linear code over* A_i.

Therefore, the number of left ideals in R_i *is equal to* $p^{2md_i} + 3p^{md_i} + 5$.

Proof. For any nonzero left ideal C of R_i, let $\overline{C} = \{\overline{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in C\}$ and $(C : p) = \{\alpha \in R_i \mid p\alpha \in C\}$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.9, it can be verified that $(C : p)$ is a left ideal of R_i satisfying $C \subseteq (C : p)$, which implies that both $\overline{C}$ and $\overline{(C : p)}$ are left ideals of Γ_i satisfying $\overline{C} \subseteq \overline{(C : p)}$ and

$$|C| = |\mathrm{Im}(\tau_i)||\mathrm{Ker}(\tau_i)| = |\overline{C}||\overline{(C : p)}|. \tag{11}$$

Then by Lemma 3.12(i), we have one of the following cases.

(i) $\overline{C} = \{0\}$. As $C \neq \{0\}$, by (11) it follows that $\overline{(C : p)}$ is a nonzero left ideal of Γ_i. Then by Lemma 3.12(ii) we have one of the following subcases:

(i-1) $\overline{(C : p)} = \Gamma_i$. By Lemma 3.12 and an argument similar to (i-1) in the proof of Theorem 3.9, it follows that $C = R_i p$, $|C| = |\Gamma_i| = p^{2md_i}$ and $\mathrm{w}_H^{(A_i)}(C) = 1$.

(i-2) $\overline{(C : p)} = \Gamma_i\overline{\epsilon}_{i,j}(x)$, where $j = 1, 2$. Then $\overline{(C : p)} = \overline{R_i\epsilon_{i,j}(x)}$, which implies that $\epsilon_{i,j}(x) + p\alpha \in (C : p)$ for some $\alpha \in R_i$, and hence $p\epsilon_{i,j}(x) = p(\epsilon_{i,j}(x) + p\alpha) \in C$. From this we deduce that $R_i p\epsilon_{i,j}(x) \subseteq C$.

Conversely, let $\xi \in C$. By $\overline{C} = \{0\}$ there exists $\beta \in R_i$ such that $\xi = p\beta \in C$, which implies $\beta \in (C : p)$, and so $\overline{\beta} \in \overline{(C : p)} = \overline{R_i\epsilon_{i,j}(x)}$. Then there exist $\gamma, \delta \in R_i$ such that $\beta = \gamma\epsilon_{i,j}(x) + p\delta$, and hence $\xi = p(\gamma\epsilon_{i,j}(x) + p\delta) = \gamma(p\epsilon_{i,j}(x)) \in R_i p\epsilon_{i,j}(x)$. Therefore, $C \subseteq R_i p\epsilon_{i,j}(x)$ and so $C = R_i p\epsilon_{i,j}(x)$.

Moreover, by Lemma 3.12(i) and Equation (11) it following that $|C| = |\Gamma_i\overline{\epsilon}_{i,j}| = p^{2md_i}$ and $\mathrm{w}_H^{(A_i)}(C) = \mathrm{w}_H^{(K_i)}(\overline{(C : p)}) = \mathrm{w}_H^{(K_i)}(\Gamma_i\overline{\epsilon}_{i,j}(x)) = 1$.

(i-3) $\overline{(C : p)} = \Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x) + y)$ where $w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i$. By Lemma 3.12 and an argument similar to (i-2) in the proof of Theorem 3.9, it follows that $C = R_i p(w(x) + y)$, $|C| = |\Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x) + y)| = p^{2md_i}$ and $\mathrm{w}_H^{(A_i)}(C) = 2$.

(ii) $\overline{C} = \Gamma_i$. By an argument similar to (ii) in the proof of Theorem 3.9, it follows that $C = R_i = R_i p^0$, $|C| = |R_i| = p^{8md_i}$ and $\mathrm{w}_H^{(A_i)}(C) = 1$.

(iii) $\overline{C} = \Gamma_i\overline{\epsilon}_{i,j}(x)$ where $j = 1, 2$. By $\overline{\epsilon}_{i,j}(x) \in \overline{C}$, there exists $\alpha \in R_i$ such that $\epsilon_{i,j}(x) + p\alpha \in C$. As $\overline{C} \subseteq \overline{(C : p)}$, by Lemma 3.7(ii) we have one of the following two subcases:

(iii-1) $\overline{(C : p)} = \Gamma_i$. In this case, by the proof of (i-1), we know that $p \in C$. Hence $\epsilon_{i,j}(x) = (\epsilon_{i,j}(x) + p\alpha) - \alpha \cdot p \in C$. Therefore, $R_i\epsilon_{i,j}(x) + R_i p \subseteq C$.

Conversely, let $\xi \in C$. By $\overline{\xi} \in \overline{C} = \Gamma_i\overline{\epsilon}_{i,j}(x) = \overline{R_i\epsilon_{i,j}(x)}$, there exists $\gamma, \delta \in R_i$ such that $\xi = \gamma\epsilon_{i,j}(x) + p\delta \in R_i\epsilon_{i,j}(x) + R_i p$. Hence $C \subseteq R_i\epsilon_{i,j}(x) + R_i p \subseteq C$ and so $C = R_i\epsilon_{i,j}(x) + R_i p$.

Moreover, by Lemma 3.12(i) and Equation (11) it follows that $|C| = |\Gamma_i\overline{\epsilon}_{i,j}||\Gamma_i| = p^{6md_i}$ and $\mathrm{w}_H^{(A_i)}(C) = \mathrm{w}_H^{(K_i)}(\overline{(C : p)}) = \mathrm{w}_H^{(K_i)}(\Gamma_i) = 1$.

(iii-2) $\overline{(C:p)} = \Gamma_i\overline{\epsilon}_{i,j}(x)$. Then by the proof of (i-2), we know that $p\overline{\epsilon}_{i,j}(x) = p\epsilon_{i,j}(x) \in C$, which implies $p\theta_i(\epsilon_{i,j}(x))y = y \cdot p\epsilon_{i,j}(x) \in C$.

$\diamondsuit$ Let $i = 1$. In this case, we have that $p\epsilon_{i,1}(x) \in C$ and $p\epsilon_{i,2}(x)y = p\theta_i(\epsilon_{i,1}(x))y \in C$ by Lemma 3.10(iv). As $\alpha \in R_i = A_i[y;\theta_i]/\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$, by Lemma 3.10(ii) there exist $a_{i,1}(x), b_{i,1}(x) \in A_{i,1}$ and $a_{i,2}(x), b_{i,2}(x) \in A_{i,2}$ such that $\alpha = (a_{i,1}(x) + a_{i,2}(x)) + (b_{i,1}(x) + b_{i,2}(x))y$. By Lemma 3.10(i) and (ii), we have $a_{i,1}(x)\epsilon_{i,1}(x) = a_{i,1}(x)$, $a_{i,2}(x)\epsilon_{i,1}(x) = 0$, $b_{i,1}(x)y\epsilon_{i,1}(x) = b_{i,1}(x)\epsilon_{i,2}(x)y = 0$ and $b_{i,2}(x)y\epsilon_{i,1}(x) = b_{i,2}(x)\epsilon_{i,2}(x)y = b_{i,2}(x)y$, which imply $\alpha \cdot p\epsilon_{i,1}(x) = p(a_{i,1}(x) + b_{i,2}(x)y)$. Hence

$$\delta = \epsilon_{i,1}(x) + p(a_{i,2}(x) + b_{i,1}(x)y) = \epsilon_{i,1}(x) + p\alpha - \alpha \cdot p\epsilon_{i,1}(x) \in C.$$

Then by $\epsilon_{i,1}(x)^2 = \epsilon_{i,1}(x)$, $\epsilon_{i,1}(x)a_{i,2}(x) = 0$ and $\epsilon_{i,1}(x)b_{i,1}(x) = b_{i,1}(x)$, it follows that $\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + pb_{i,1}(x)y = \epsilon_{i,1}(x)\delta \in C$, which implies $R_i(\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + pb_{i,1}(x)y) \subseteq C$.

Conversely, let $\xi \in C$. By $\overline{C} = \overline{R_i\epsilon_{i,1}(x)} = \Gamma_i\overline{\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + pb_{i,1}(x)y}$, there exist $\gamma, \delta \in R_i$ such that $\xi = \gamma(\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + pb_{i,1}(x)y) + p\delta$, which implies $p\delta = \xi - \gamma(\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + pb_{i,1}(x)y) \in C$, i.e., $\delta \in (C:p)$. From this and by $\overline{(C:p)} = \Gamma_i\overline{\epsilon}_{i,1}(x)$, we deduce that $\delta = \lambda\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + p\eta$ for some $\lambda, \eta \in R_i$. Therefore, $\xi = \gamma(\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + pb_{i,1}(x)y) + p(\lambda\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + p\eta) = (\gamma + p\lambda)(\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + pb_{i,1}(x)y) \in R_i(\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + pb_{i,1}(x)y)$. Hence $C = R_i(\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + pb_{i,1}(x)y)$.

Assume that $C = R_i(\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + ph_{i,1}(x)y)$ from some $h_{i,1} \in K_{i,1}$ as well. Then $p(b_{i,1}(x) - h_{i,1}(x))y = (\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + pb_{i,1}(x)y) - (\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + ph_{i,1}(x)y) \in C$, which implies $(b_{i,1}(x) - h_{i,1}(x))y \in \overline{(C:p)} = \Gamma_i\overline{\epsilon}_{i,1}(x)$, and so

$$\overline{\theta}_i(b_{i,1}(x) - h_{i,1}(x)) = y \cdot (b_{i,1}(x) - h_{i,1}(x))y \in \Gamma_i\overline{\epsilon}_{i,1}(x) = K_{i,1} + K_{i,2}y.$$

From this we deduce that $\overline{\theta}_i(b_{i,1}(x) - h_{i,1}(x)) = 0$, since $\overline{\theta}_i(b_{i,1}(x) - h_{i,1}(x)) \in K_{i,2}$ and $K_{i,1} \cap K_{i,2} = \{0\}$ by Lemma 3.11 (iv) and (ii) respectively. Hence $b_{i,1}(x) - h_{i,1}(x) = 0$, i.e., $b_{i,1}(x) = h_{i,1}(x)$ in $K_{i,1}$.

As stated above, we conclude that all distinct left ideals of R_i satisfying $\overline{C} = \overline{(C:p)} = \Gamma_i\overline{\epsilon}_{i,1}(x)$ are given by: $C = R_i(\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + pb_{i,1}(x)y)$, where $b_{i,1} \in K_{i,1}$ and $|K_{i,1}| = p^{md_i}$.

$\diamondsuit$ Let $j = 2$. An similar argument shows that all distinct left ideals of R_i satisfying $\overline{C} = \overline{(C:p)} = \Gamma_i\overline{\epsilon}_{i,2}(x)$ are given by: $C = R_i(\epsilon_{i,2}(x) + pb_{i,2}(x)y)$, where $b_{i,2} \in K_{i,2}$ and $|K_{i,2}| = p^{md_i}$.

Moreover, by Lemma 3.12(i) and Equation (11) it follows that $|C| = |\Gamma_i\overline{\epsilon}_{i,j}(x)|^2 = p^{4md_i}$ and $\mathrm{w}_H^{(A_i)}(C) = \mathrm{w}_H^{(K_i)}(\overline{(C:p)}) = \mathrm{w}_H^{(K_i)}(\Gamma_i\overline{\epsilon}_{i,j}(x)) = 1$.

(iv) $\overline{C} = \Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x) + y)$ where $w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i$. By $\overline{y + w(x)} = \overline{w}(x) + y \in \overline{C}$, there exists $\alpha \in R_i$ such that $y + w(x) + p\alpha \in C$. As $\overline{C} \subseteq \overline{(C : p)}$, by Lemma 3.12(ii) we have one of the following two subcases:

(iv-1) $\overline{(C : p)} = \Gamma_i$. By Lemma 3.12 and an argument similar to (iii-1) in the proof of Theorem 3.9, it follows that $C = R_i(y + w(x)) + R_i p$, $|C| = |\Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x) + y)||\Gamma_i| = p^{6md_i}$ and $\mathrm{w}_H^{(A_i)}(C) = \mathrm{w}_H^{(K_i)}(\overline{(C : p)}) = \mathrm{w}_H^{(K_i)}(\Gamma_i) = 1$.

(iv-2) $\overline{(C : p)} = \Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x) + y)$. Then by the proof of (i-3), we know that $p(y + w(x)) \in C$. As $\alpha \in R_i$, there exist $a(x), b(x) \in A_i$ such that $\alpha = a(x) + b(x)y$. Denote $\vartheta(x) \equiv a(x) - b(x)w(x) \pmod p$ where $\vartheta(x) \in \overline{A}_i = K_i$. Then $p\vartheta(x) = p(a(x) - b(x)w(x))$ and hence

$$y + w(x) + p\vartheta(x) = (y + w(x) + p\alpha) - b(x) \cdot p(y + w(x)) \in C$$

Hence $R_i(y + w(x) + p\vartheta(x)) \subseteq C$. From this and by an argument similar to (iii-2) in the proof of Theorem 3.9, we deduce that $C = R_i(y + w(x) + p\vartheta(x))$ and C is uniquely determined by the pair $(w(x), \vartheta(x))$ of polynomials.

By the definition of $\mathcal{W}_i$ (see Theorem 2.5(II)), we have that $w(x) = u(x) + \frac{1}{u(x^{-1})} = u(x) + (\theta_i(u(x)))^{-1}$ where $u(x) = \epsilon_{i,1}(x)\zeta_i(x)^k$ and $0 \le k \le p^{md_i} - 2$. By Lemma 3.10(iv), it follows that $\theta_i(u(x)) = \epsilon_{i,2}(x)\zeta_i(x^{-1})^k \pmod{f_i(x)}$, which implies $\theta_i(w(x)) = \theta_i(u(x)) + (u(x))^{-1}$ where $\theta_i(u(x)) \in A_{i,2}$ and $(u(x))^{-1} \in A_{i,1}$ by Lemma 3.10(iv). From this and by Lemma 3.10 (i) and (ii), we deduce that

$$w(x) \cdot \theta_i(w(x)) = u(x)(u(x))^{-1} + (\theta_i(u(x)))^{-1}\theta_i(u(x)) = \epsilon_{i,1}(x) + \epsilon_{i,2}(x) = 1,$$

i.e., $\theta_i(w(x)) = w(x)^{-1}$. Then by $p^2 = 0$, we have $(\theta_i(w(x)) + p\theta_i(\vartheta(x)))^{-1} = w(x)^2 (\theta_i(w(x)) - p\theta_i(\vartheta(x)))$. As C is a left ideal of R_i and $y^2 = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & y + w(x) + p(-\overline{w}(x)^2\overline{\theta}_i(\vartheta(x))) \\ = \; & y + w(x)^2 (\theta_i(w(x)) - p\theta_i(\vartheta(x))) \\ = \; & (\theta_i(w(x)) + p\theta_i(\vartheta(x)))^{-1} ((\theta_i(w(x)) + p\theta_i\vartheta(x))) y + 1) \\ = \; & (\theta_i(w(x)) + p\theta_i(\vartheta(x)))^{-1} y \cdot (w(x) + p\vartheta(x) + y) \in C, \end{aligned}$$

From this, we deduce that

$$\overline{w}(x)\overline{\theta}_i(\vartheta(x)) + \overline{\theta}_i(\overline{w}(x))\vartheta(x) = 0, \text{ i.e., } \vartheta(x) = -\overline{w}(x)^2\overline{\theta}_i(\vartheta(x)) \qquad (12)$$

in K_i. Let $\overline{w}(x) = u(x) + \frac{1}{u(x^{-1})}$ where $u(x) = \overline{\epsilon}_{i,1}(x)\overline{\zeta}_i(x)^s \in K_{i,1}^{\times}$, $0 \le s \le p^{md_i} - 2$. By Equation (10), Lemma 3.11 and $u(x^{-1}) = \overline{\theta}_i(u(x)) \in K_{i,2}$, it

follows that $\overline{w}(x)^2 = u(x)^2 + \frac{1}{u(x^{-1})^2}$. By Lemma 3.11(ii), there exists a unique pair $(v_1(x), v_2(x))$, $v_j(x) \in K_{i,j}$ for $j = 1, 2$, such that $\vartheta(x) = v_1(x) + v_2(x)$. Then $\overline{\theta}_i(\vartheta(x)) = \overline{\theta}_i(v_2(x)) + \overline{\theta}_i(v_1(x))$ where $\overline{\theta}_i(v_2(x)) \in K_{i,1}$ and $\overline{\theta}_i(v_1(x)) \in K_{i,2}$ by Lemma 3.11(iv). Hence

$$\overline{w}(x)^2\overline{\theta}_i(\vartheta(x)) = u(x)^2\overline{\theta}_i(v_2(x)) + \frac{1}{u(x^{-1})^2}\overline{\theta}_i(v_1(x)).$$

From this we deduce that all solutions of the Equation (12) are given by

$$\vartheta(x) = v_1(x) - \frac{1}{u(x^{-1})^2}\overline{\theta}_i(v_1(x)) = v_1(x) - \frac{1}{u(x^{-1})^2}v_1(x^{-1})$$

where $v_1(x) \in K_{i,1} = \overline{U}_{i,1}$, i.e., $\vartheta(x) \in \mathcal{V}_i^{(w(x))}$. As $|K_{i,1}| = p^{md_i}$, the number of left ideals C of R_i is equal to $p^{md_i}(p^{md_i} - 1)$ in this case.

Then by Lemma 3.12(i) and Equation (11), we have that $|C| = |\Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x) + y)|^2 = p^{4md_i}$ and $\mathrm{w}_H^{(A_i)}(C) = \mathrm{w}_H^{(K_i)}(\overline{(C : p)}) = \mathrm{w}_H^{(K_i)}(\Gamma_i(\overline{w}(x) + y)) = 2$. □

Now it is the time to prove Theorem 2.5. First, for each left ideal C_i of the ring $R_i = A_i[y; \theta_i]/\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$ listed by Theorems 3.8, 3.9 and 3.13 we give a generator matrix for C_i as a linear code over A_i of length 2, $0 \le i \le r + t$.

For example, let $1 \le i \le r$, $d_i \ge 2$ and $C_i = R_i(w(x) + y) + R_ip$. Then as an A_i-submodule of R_i, a generator set of C_i is $\{w(x) + y, y(w(x) + y), p, py\}$ where $y(w(x) + y) = 1 + \theta_i(w(x))y$. By the identification of $R[D_{2n}]$ with $\mathcal{A}^2$ under Ξ defined before Theorem 2.1, we have

$$C_i = \{\xi M_i \mid \xi \in A_i^4\} \text{ where } M_i = \begin{bmatrix} w(x) & 1 \\ 1 & \theta_i(w(x)) \\ p & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $w(x)$ is an invertible element of A_i and $w(x) \cdot \theta_i(w(x)) = 1$, there is an invertible matrix P over A_i such that $PM_i = \begin{bmatrix} w(x) & 1 \\ 0 & p \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. So $G_i = \begin{bmatrix} w(x) & 1 \\ 0 & p \end{bmatrix}$ is a generator matrix of C_i.

Now, let $r + 1 \le i \le r + t$ and $C_i = R_i\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + R_ip$. Then as an A_i-submodule of R_i, a generator set of C_i is $\{\epsilon_{i,1}(x), y\epsilon_{i,1}(x), p, py\}$ where

$y\epsilon_{i,1}(x) = \theta_i(\epsilon_{i,1}(x))y = \epsilon_{i,2}(x)y$ by Lemma 3.11(iv). By the identification of $R[D_{2n}]$ with $\mathcal{A}^2$ under Ξ defined before Theorem 2.1, we have

$$C_i = \{\xi M_i \mid \xi \in A_i^4\} \text{ where } M_i = \begin{bmatrix} M_{i,1} \\ pI_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

As $\epsilon_{i,1}(x)\epsilon_{i,2}(x) = 0$ and $\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + \epsilon_{i,2}(x) = 1$ by Lemma 3.11, there is an invertible matrix P over A_i such that $PM_i = \begin{bmatrix} M_{i,1} \\ pM_{i,2} \end{bmatrix}$. So $G_i = \begin{bmatrix} M_{i,1} \\ pM_{i,2} \end{bmatrix}$ is a generator matrix of C_i.

Let $r+1 \le i \le r+t$ and $C_i = R_i(\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + pb_{i,1}(x)y)$ where $b_{i,1}(x) \in K_{i,1}$. Then as an A_i-submodule of R_i, a generator set of C_i is $\{\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + pb_{i,1}(x)y, y(\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + pb_{i,1}(x)y)\}$ where $y(\epsilon_{i,1}(x) + pb_{i,1}(x)y) = pb_{i,1}(x^{-1}) + \epsilon_{i,2}(x)y$ with $b_{i,1}(x^{-1}) = \overline{\theta}_i(b_{i,1}(x)) \in K_{i,2}$ by Lemma 3.11(iv). Hence a generator matrix of C_i is given by $G_i = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{i,1}(x) & pb_{i,1}(x) \\ pb_{i,1}(x^{-1}) & \epsilon_{i,2}(x) \end{bmatrix}$.

It is routine to prove that G_i is a generator matrix of C_i for other cases. Here, we omit the proofs.

Finally, we prove that $\mathcal{C}^{\perp_E} = \oplus_{i=0}^{r+t}\mathcal{A}_i\square_{\varphi_i}V_i$ as a linear R-code of length $2n$. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_{0,0}, a_{1,0}, \ldots, a_{n-1,0}, a_{0,1}, a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{n-1,1}), \mathbf{b} = (b_{0,0}, b_{1,0}, b_{2,0}, \ldots, b_{n-1,0}, b_{0,1}, b_{1,1}, \ldots, b_{n-1,1}) \in R^{2n}$. Recall that the *Euclidian inner product* of $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ is defined by $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]_E = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\sum_{j=0}^{1} a_{i,j}b_{i,j} \in R$.

Let C be a linear R-code of length $2n$, i.e., an R-submodule of R^{2n}, the *Euclidian dual code* of C is defined as $C^{\perp_E} = \{\mathbf{b} \in R^{2n} \mid [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]_E = 0,\ \forall \mathbf{a} \in C\}$. Moreover, C is said to be *self-dual* (resp. *self-orthogonal*) if $C = C^{\perp_E}$ (resp. $C \subseteq C^{\perp_E}$).

Lemma 3.14 *Using the notations above, denote*

$$a_j(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{k,j}x^k,\ b_j(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_{k,j}x^k \in \mathcal{A},\ j = 0, 1,$$

$\alpha = (a_0(x), a_1(x))$, $\beta = (b_0(x), b_1(x))$, *and define* $\theta(\beta) = (\theta(b_0(x)), \theta(b_1(x)))$. *Then* $[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]_E = 0$ *if*

$$\alpha \cdot (\theta(\beta))^{\mathrm{tr}} = a_0(x) \cdot \theta(b_0(x)) + a_1(x) \cdot \theta(b_1(x)) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. By $x^n = 1$ in $\mathcal{A}$, it follows that

$$a_0(x) \cdot \theta(b_0(x)) + a_1(x) \cdot \theta(b_1(x)) = [\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]_E + h_1x + \ldots + h_{n-1}x^{n-1}$$

for some $h_1, \ldots, h_{n-1} \in R$. Hence $[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]_E = 0$ if $\alpha \cdot (\theta(\beta))^{\rm tr} = 0$ in $\mathcal{A}$. $\square$

Now, denote $\mathcal{Q} = \oplus_{i=0}^{r+t} \mathcal{A}_i \square_{\varphi_i} V_i$ where V_i is given by Theorem 2.5 for any $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r+t$. By the first part of Theorem 2.5 we have proved above, we see that $\mathcal{Q}$ is also a left D_{2n}-code over R, $|C_i||V_i| = p^{4md_i}$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r$ and $|C_i||V_i| = p^{8md_i}$ for all $i = r+1, \ldots, r+t$, which implies

$$|\mathcal{C}||\mathcal{Q}| = \prod_{i=0}^{r+t} |C_i||V_i| = p^{4m(\sum_{i=0}^{r} d_i + 2\sum_{i=r+1}^{r+t} d_i)} = |R|^{2n} \tag{13}$$

by $|\mathcal{A}_i \square_{\varphi_i} C_i| = |C_i|$ and $|\mathcal{A}_i \square_{\varphi_i} V_i| = |V_i|$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r+t$.

Let $G = [g_{ij}(x)]_{k \times l}$ be a matrix over the commutative ring A_i of size $k \times l$ with $g_{ij}(x) \in A_i$. Define $\theta_i(G) = [\theta_i(g_{ij}(x))]_{k \times l}$, and denote the transpose of G by $G^{\rm tr}$ where $G^{\rm tr} = [h_{ij}(x)]_{l \times k}$ with $h_{ij}(x) = g_{ji}(x)$. First, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.15 *Let $0 \le i \le r+t$. Then $G_i \cdot (\theta_i(H_i))^{\rm tr} = 0$ for all pair (G_i, H_i) of matrices listed in three tables of Theorem 2.5.*

Proof. Let $0 \le i \le r$ and $d_i = 1$. Then $A_i = R$ and $\theta_i = {\rm id}_R$. It is routine to check that $G_i \cdot (\theta_i(H_i))^{\rm tr} = G_i \cdot H_i^{\rm tr} = 0$ for all pair (G_i, H_i) of matrices listed in the first two tables of Theorem 2.5.

Let $0 \le i \le r$ and $d_i = 2$. We consider the pair (G_i, H_i) of matrices listed in the third table of Theorem 2.5. For example, let $G_i = (w(x)(1+p\vartheta(x)), 1)$ and $H_i = (-w(x)(1+p\vartheta(x)), 1)$ where $w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i$ and $\vartheta(x) \in \mathcal{V}_i$. Then we have $w(x) \cdot \theta_i(w(x)) = 1$ and $\overline{\theta}_i(\vartheta(x)) + \vartheta(x) = 0$ by Lemma 3.6(iii) and Equation (8) in the proof of Theorem 3.9, respectively. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} G_i \cdot (\theta_i(H_i))^{\rm tr} &= (w(x)(1+p\vartheta(x)), 1) \cdot (-\theta_i(w(x))(1+p\overline{\theta}_i(\vartheta(x))), 1)^{\rm tr} \\ &= -w(x) \cdot \theta_i(w(x)) \cdot (1 + p(\vartheta(x) + \overline{\theta}_i(\vartheta(x)))) + 1 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is routine to check that $G_i \cdot (\theta_i(H_i))^{\rm tr} = 0$ for other pair (G_i, H_i) of matrices in the third table of Theorem 2.5.

Let $r+1 \le i \le r+t$. We consider the pair (G_i, H_i) of matrices listed in the fourth table of Theorem 2.5. For example, let $G_i = (w(x) + p\vartheta(x), 1)$ and $H_i = (-w(x) - p\vartheta(x), 1)$ where $\vartheta(x) \in \mathcal{V}_i^{(w(x))}$ and $w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i$. Then we have $w(x) \cdot \theta_i(w(x)) = 1$ and $\overline{w}(x)\overline{\theta}_i(\vartheta(x)) + \overline{\theta}_i(\overline{w}(x))\vartheta(x) = 0$ by the case

(iv-2) and Equation (12) in the proof of Theorem 3.13, respectively. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} G_i \cdot (\theta_i(H_i))^{\mathrm{tr}} &= (w(x) + p\vartheta(x), 1) \cdot (-\theta_i(w(x)) - p\overline{\theta}_i(\vartheta(x)), 1)^{\mathrm{tr}} \\ &= -w(x) \cdot \theta_i(w(x)) - p(\overline{w}(x)\overline{\theta}_i(\vartheta(x)) + \vartheta(x)\overline{\theta}_i(\overline{w}(x))) + 1 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is routine to check that $G_i \cdot (\theta_i(H_i))^{\mathrm{tr}} = 0$ for other pair (G_i, H_i) of matrices in the fourth table of Theorem 2.5 by Lemma 3.10 (i) and (iv). □

Now, let $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{Q}$. By the identification of $R[D_{2n}]$ with $\mathcal{A}^2$ under Ξ defined before Theorem 2.1 and the first part of Theorem 2.5 we have proved, it follows that

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{r+t} \varepsilon_i(x)\xi_i G_i \in \mathcal{A}^2 \text{ and } \beta = \sum_{i=0}^{r+t} \varepsilon_i(x)\eta_i H_i \in \mathcal{A}^2$$

for some $\xi_i \in A_i$ or $\xi_i \in A_i^2$ and $\eta_i \in A_i$ or $\eta_i \in A_i^2$. From this, by Lemma 2.3(i), Lemma 3.3(ii) and Lemma 3.15 we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \cdot (\theta(\beta))^{\mathrm{tr}} &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{r+t} \varepsilon_i(x)\xi_i G_i\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^{r+t} \varepsilon_k(x)(\theta_k(H_k))^{\mathrm{tr}}(\theta_k(\eta_k))^{\mathrm{tr}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{r+t} \varepsilon_i(x)\xi_i \left(G_i \cdot (\theta_k(H_i))^{\mathrm{tr}}\right)(\theta_i(\eta_i))^{\mathrm{tr}} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\perp_E}$ by Lemma 3.14. From this and by Equation (13), we deduce that $\mathcal{C}^{\perp_E} = \mathcal{Q}$ as required.

4. Self-dual and self-orthogonal left D_{2n}-codes over R

In this section, we consider self-dual and self-orthogonal left D_{2n}-codes over $R = GR(p^2, m)$ where m is a positive integer. By Theorem 2.5, $\mathcal{C}$ is Euclidian self-dual if and only if $C_i = V_i$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r+t$. Then we have

Corollary 4.1 *All distinct Euclidian self-dual left D_{2n}-codes over R are given by: $\mathcal{C} = \sum_{i=0}^{r+t}(\mathcal{A}_i \square_{\varphi_i} C_i)$, where C_i is a left ideal of R_i satisfying one of the following two conditions*:

(i) *Let p be odd. If $0 \le i \le r$, then $C_i = R_i p$. If $r+1 \le i \le r+t$, then $C_i = R_i p$, $R_i \epsilon_{i,j}(x)$ for $j = 1, 2$.*

(ii) *Let $p = 2$. If $0 \le i \le r$, then $C_i = 2R_i$. If $r+1 \le i \le r+t$, then $C_i = 2R_i$, $R_i(\epsilon_{i,j}(x) + 2b_{i,j}(x)y)$ where $b_{i,j} \in K_{i,j}$ and $j = 1, 2$.*

Therefore, the number of Euclidian self-dual left D_{2n}-codes over R is equal to 3^t when p is odd; and the number of Euclidian self-dual left D_{2n}-codes over R is equal to $(2 \cdot 2^{md_i} + 1)^t$ when $p = 2$.

By Theorem 2.5, $\mathcal{C}$ is Euclidian self-orthogonal if and only if $C_i \subseteq V_i$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r+t$. Hence we have

Corollary 4.2 *All distinct self-orthogonal left D_{2n}-codes over R are given by: $\mathcal{C} = \sum_{i=0}^{r+t}(\mathcal{A}_i \square_{\varphi_i} C_i)$, where C_i is a left ideal of $R_i = A_i[y; \theta_i]/\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$ given by one of the following three cases.*

(i) *Let $0 \le i \le r$ and $d_i = 1$. Then C_i is one of the following two cases*:

(i-1) *If p is odd, $C_i = \{0\}, R_i p, R_i p(y-1), R_i p(y+1)$.*

(i-2) *If $p = 2$, $C_i = \{0\}, 2R_i, 2R_i(y-1)$.*

(ii) *Let $0 \le i \le r$ and $d_i \ge 2$. Then $C_i = \{0\}, R_i p, R_i p(w(x) + y)$ with $w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i$.*

(iii) *Let $r+1 \le i \le r+t$. Then C_i is one of the following two cases*:

(iii-1) *Let p is odd. Then $C_i = \{0\}, R_i p, R_i p \epsilon_{i,j}(x), R_i p(w(x) + y)$ with $w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i$, $R_i \epsilon_{i,j}(x)$ for all $j = 1, 2$.*

(iii-2) *Let $p = 2$. Then $C_i = \{0\}, 2R_i, 2R_i \epsilon_{i,j}(x), 2R_i(w(x) + y)$ with $w(x) \in \mathcal{W}_i$, $R_i(\epsilon_{i,j}(x) + 2b_{i,j}(x)y)$ where $b_{i,j} \in K_{i,j}$ and $j = 1, 2$.*

Therefore, the number of self-orthogonal left D_{2n}-codes over R is equal to

$$4^{\lambda} \prod_{d_i \ge 2, 1 \le i \le r} (p^{\frac{md_i}{2}} + 3) \prod_{r+1 \le i \le r+t} (p^{md_i} + 5) \text{ if } p \text{ is odd},$$

and the number of self-orthogonal left D_{2n}-codes over R is equal to

$$3^{\lambda} \prod_{d_i \ge 2, 1 \le i \le r} (2^{\frac{md_i}{2}} + 3) \prod_{r+1 \le i \le r+t} (3 \cdot 2^{md_i} + 3) \text{ if } p = 2,$$

where $\lambda = |\{i \mid d_i = 1, 0 \le i \le r\}|$.

Finally, we consider left D_{30}-codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$. We know that $x^{15} - 1 = \prod_{i=0}^{3} f_i(x)$, where $f_0(x) = x - 1$, $f_1(x) = 1 + x + x^2$, $f_2(x) = 1 + x +$

$x^2 + x^3 + x^4$ and $f_3(x) = \rho_3(x)\rho_3^*(x)$ with $\rho_3(x) = 1 + 3x + 2x^2 + x^4$, and $f_0(x), f_1(x), f_2(x), \rho_3(x), \rho_3^*(x)$ are pairwise coprime basic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$. Hence $r = 2$, $t = 1$, $d_0 = 1$, $d_1 = 2$ and $d_2 = d_3 = 4$. By Corollary 2.6(ii), the number of left D_{30}-codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$, i.e., left ideals of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}_4[D_{30}]$, is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N}_{(15,4,4)} &= (2+5)\cdot(2^2+3\cdot 2^{\frac{2}{2}}+5)\cdot(2^4+3\cdot 2^{\frac{4}{2}}+5)\cdot(4^4+3\cdot 2^4+5)\\ &= 7\cdot 15\cdot 33\cdot 309 = 1,070,685.\end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 4.1, the number of self-dual left D_{30}-codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ is equal to $(2\cdot 2^4+1)^1 = 33$. By Corollary 4.2, the number of self-orthogonal left D_{30}-codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ is equal to $3^1(2^{\frac{2}{2}}+3)(2^{\frac{4}{2}}+3)(3\cdot 2^4+3) = 3\cdot 5\cdot 7\cdot 51 = 5355$.

For each $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, denote $F_i(x) = \frac{x^n-1}{f_i(x)} \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x]$. Then $F_i(x)$ and $f_i(x)$ are coprime polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$. We find polynomials $u_i(x), v_i(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x]$ such that $u_i(x)F_i(x) + v_i(x)f_i(x) = 1$. In the following, let $\varepsilon_i(x) \in \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle x^{15}-1\rangle$ satisfying $\varepsilon_i(x) \equiv u_i(x)F_i(x) = 1 - v_i(x)f_i(x) \pmod{x^{15}-1}$. Precisely, we have

$\varepsilon_0(x) = 3x^{14} + 3x^{13} + 3x^{12} + 3x^{11} + 3x^{10} + 3x^9 + 3x^8 + 3x^7 + 3x^6 + 3x^5 + 3x^4 + 3x^3 + 3x^2 + 3x + 3$,

$\varepsilon_1(x) = x^{14} + x^{13} + 2x^{12} + x^{11} + x^{10} + 2x^9 + x^8 + x^7 + 2x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + 2x^3 + x^2 + x + 2$,

$\varepsilon_2(x) = x^{14} + x^{13} + x^{12} + x^{11} + x^9 + x^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x$,

$\varepsilon_3(x) = x^{14} + x^{13} + x^{12} + x^{11} + x^9 + x^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x$.

Using the notations in Section 2 and Section 3, denote $A_i = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle f_i(x)\rangle$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Precisely, we have

$\diamond$ $A_0 = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle x-1\rangle = \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $R_0 = \mathbb{Z}_4[y]/\langle y^2-1\rangle$.

$\diamond$ $A_1 = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle f_1(x)\rangle = \{a_0 + a_1x \mid a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_4\}$ which is a Galois ring of characteristic 4 and cardinality 4^2, and $R_1 = A_1[y;\theta_1]/\langle y^2-1\rangle$ where $\theta_1(a(x)) = a(x^{14}) \pmod{f_1(x)}$ for all $a(x) \in A_1$. We find an invertible element $\zeta_1(x)$ in A_1 of order 3: $\zeta_1(x) = x$. Then $\overline{\zeta}_1(x) = x$. Let

$\mathcal{W}_1 = \{\zeta_1(x)^{(2-1)s} \mid s = 0, 1, 2\} = \{1, x, 3+3x\}$;

$\mathcal{V}_1 = \{0\} \cup \{\overline{\zeta}_1(x)^{(2+1)\cdot 0}\} = \{0, 1\}$.

$\diamond$ $A_2 = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle f_2(x)\rangle = \{\sum_{j=0}^3 a_jx^j \mid a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_4\}$ which is a Galois ring of characteristic 4 and cardinality 4^4, and $R_2 = A_2[y;\theta_1]/\langle y^2-1\rangle$ where $\theta_2(a(x)) = a(x^{14}) \pmod{f_2(x)}$ for all $a(x) \in A_2$. We find an invertible element $\zeta_2(x) = 2x^3 + x + 1 \in A_2$ having multiplicative order 15, which implies $\overline{\zeta}_2(x) = 1 + x$. Let

$\mathcal{W}_2 = \{\zeta_2(x)^{(2^{\frac{4}{2}}-1)s} \mid s = 0, 1, 2, 3, 2^{\frac{4}{2}}\} = \{1, 3x^3+3x^2+3x+3, x^3, x^2, x\}$;

$\mathcal{V}_2 = \{0\} \cup \{\overline{\zeta}_2(x)^{(2^{\frac{4}{2}}+1)l} \mid l = 0, 1, 2^{\frac{4}{2}} - 2\} = \{0, 1, x^3 + x^2 + 1, x^3 + x^2\}$.

$\diamond$ $A_3 = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle f_3(x)\rangle = \{\sum_{j=0}^{7} a_j x^j \mid a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6, a_7 \in \mathbb{Z}_4\}$ which is a principal ideal ring of cardinality 4^8, and $R_3 = A_3[y; \theta_1]/\langle y^2 - 1\rangle$ where $\theta_3(a(x)) = a(x^{14}) \pmod{f_3(x)}$ for all $a(x) \in A_3$. We find polynomials $\phi_3(x), \psi_3(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[x]$ such that $\phi_3(x)\rho_3^*(x) + \psi_3(x)\rho_3(x) = 1$. Then define $\epsilon_{3,1}(x), \epsilon_{3,2}(x) \in A_3$ by the following equations:

$$\epsilon_{3,1}(x) \equiv \phi_3(x)\rho_3^*(x),\ \epsilon_{3,2}(x) \equiv \psi_3(x)\rho_3(x) \pmod{f_3(x)}.$$

Precisely, we have $\epsilon_{3,1}(x) = 3\,x^7 + x^5 + 2\,x^4 + x^3 + 3\,x^2 + 2\,x + 2$ and $\epsilon_{3,2}(x) = x^7 + 3\,x^5 + 2\,x^4 + 3\,x^3 + x^2 + 2\,x + 3$. Hence $\overline{\epsilon}_{3,1}(x) = x^2 + x^3 + x^5 + x^7$ and $\overline{\epsilon}_{3,2}(x) = 1 + x^2 + x^3 + x^5 + x^7$.

Let $\Upsilon_{3,1} = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle \rho_3(x)\rangle$ which is a Galois ring of characteristic 4 and cardinality 4^4. We find an element $\zeta_3(x)$ in $\Upsilon_{3,1}$ of order 15: $\zeta_3(x) = x^2$. Set

$\mathcal{W}_3 = \{u(x) + \frac{1}{u(x^{-1})} \mid u(x) = \epsilon_{3,1}(x)\zeta_3(x)^k,\ k = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^4 - 2\} \pmod{f_3(x), \text{ mod } 4}$;

$K_{3,1} = \{0\} \cup \{\overline{\epsilon}_{3,1}(x)\overline{\zeta}_3(x)^k \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, 14\} \pmod{\overline{f}_3(x), \text{ mod } 2}$,

$K_{3,2} = \{0\} \cup \{\overline{\epsilon}_{3,2}(x)\overline{\zeta}_3(x^{-1})^k \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, 14\} \pmod{\overline{f}_3(x), \text{ mod } 2}$,

$\mathcal{V}_3^{(w(x))} = \{v(x) - \frac{1}{u(x^{-1})^2}v(x^{-1}) \mid v(x) \in K_{3,1}\} \pmod{\overline{f}_3(x), \text{ mod } 2}$ for each $w(x) = u(x) + \frac{1}{u(x^{-1})}$ with $u(x) = \epsilon_{3,1}(x)\zeta_3(x)^k$ and $0 \le k \le 14$.

Then by Theorems 3.9, 3.10, 3.5 and 3.14, all 1070685 distinct left D_{30}-codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ are given by: $\mathcal{C} = \oplus_{i=0}^{3}(\mathcal{A}_i \square_{\varphi_i} C_i)$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{C} = \sum_{i=0}^{3}\{(\varepsilon_i(x)c_{i,0}(x), \varepsilon_i(x)c_{i,1}(x)) \mid c_{i,0}(x) + c_{i,1}(x)y \in C_i\} \pmod{x^{15} - 1},$$

where C_i is given by one of the following four cases.

$\diamondsuit$ C_0 is one of the following 7 ideals of R_0: $\{0\}, 2R_0, 2R_0(y-1), R_0, R_0(y-1) + 2R_0, R_0(y-1), R_9((y-1)+2)$.

$\diamondsuit$ C_1 is one of the following 15 ideals of R_1:

case	N_1	C_1 (left ideals of R_1)	$\lvert C_1\rvert$	d_1
(1)	1	$\diamond$ $\{0\}$	0	0
(2)	2	$\diamond$ $2^j R_1$ $(j = 0, 1)$	$2^{4(2-j)}$	1
(3)	3	$\diamond$ $2R_1(w(x) + y)$ $(w(x) \in \mathcal{G}_1)$	2^2	2
(4)	6	$\diamond$ $R_1(w(x)(1 + 2\vartheta(x)) + y)$ $(w(x) \in \mathcal{G}_1,\ \vartheta(x) \in \mathcal{H}_1)$	2^4	2
(5)	3	$\diamond$ $R_1(w(x) + y) + 2R_1$ $(w(x) \in \mathcal{G}_1)$	2^6	1

$\diamondsuit$ C_2 is one of the following 33 ideals of R_2:

case	N_2	C_2 (left ideals of R_2)	$\lvert C_i\rvert$	d_2
(1)	1	$\diamond\ \{0\}$	0	0
(2)	2	$\diamond\ 2^jR_2\ \ (j=0,1)$	$2^{8(2-j)}$	1
(3)	5	$\diamond\ 2R_2(w(x)+y)\ \ (w(x)\in\mathcal{G}_2)$	2^4	2
(4)	20	$\diamond\ R_2(w(x)(1+2\vartheta(x))+y)$ $(w(x)\in\mathcal{G}_2,\ \vartheta(x)\in\mathcal{H}_2)$	2^8	2
(5)	5	$\diamond\ R_2(w(x)+y)+2R_2\ \ (w(x)\in\mathcal{G}_2)$	2^{12}	1

$\diamondsuit$ C_3 is one of the following 309 ideals of R_3:

case	N_3	C_3 (left ideals of R_3)	$\lvert C_3\rvert$	d_3
(1)	1	$\diamond\ \{0\}$	0	0
(2)	2	$\diamond\ 2^jR_3\ \ (j=0,1)$	$2^{16(2-j)}$	1
(3)	2	$\diamond\ 2R_3\epsilon_{3,j}(x)\ \ (j=1,2)$	2^8	1
(4)	15	$\diamond\ 2R_3(w(x)+y)\ \ (w(x)\in\mathcal{G}_3)$	2^8	2
(5)	2	$\diamond\ R_3\epsilon_{3,j}(x)+2R_3\ \ (j=1,2)$	2^{24}	1
(6)	32	$\diamond\ R_3(\epsilon_{i,j}(x)+2b_{i,j}(x)y)\ \ (b_{i,j}(x)\in K_{i,j},\ j=1,2)$	2^{16}	1
(7)	15	$\diamond\ R_3(w(x)+y)+2R_3\ \ (w(x)\in\mathcal{G}_3)$	2^{24}	1
(8)	240	$\diamond\ R_3(w(x)+2\vartheta(x)+y)$ $(\vartheta(x)\in\mathcal{H}_3^{(w(x))},\ w(x)\in\mathcal{G}_3)$	2^{16}	2

For example, we consider the following 120 left D_{30}-codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$:

$$\mathcal{C}=(\mathcal{A}_1\square_{\varphi_1}C_1)\oplus(\mathcal{A}_2\square_{\varphi_2}C_2), \tag{14}$$

where $C_1=R_1(w_1(x)(1+2\vartheta_1(x))+y)$ $(w_1(x)\in\mathcal{W}_1,\ \vartheta_1(x)\in\mathcal{V}_1)$ and $C_2=R_2(w_2(x)(1+2\vartheta_2(x))+y)$ $(w_2(x)\in\mathcal{W}_2,\ \vartheta_2(x)\in\mathcal{V}_2)$. Then $|\mathcal{C}|=|C_1||C_2|=4^6=4096$. Specifically, a generator matrix of $\mathcal{C}$ as a linear code over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ of length 30 is given by

$$G\ =\ \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1(x)w_1(x)(1+2\vartheta_1(x)) & \varepsilon_1(x)\\ x\varepsilon_1(x)w_1(x)(1+2\vartheta_1(x)) & x\varepsilon_1(x)\\ \varepsilon_2(x)w_2(x)(1+2\vartheta_2(x)) & \varepsilon_2(x)\\ x\varepsilon_2(x)w_2(x)(1+2\vartheta_2(x)) & x\varepsilon_2(x)\\ x^2\varepsilon_2(x)w_2(x)(1+2\vartheta_2(x)) & x^2\varepsilon_2(x)\\ x^3\varepsilon_2(x)w_2(x)(1+2\vartheta_2(x)) & x^3\varepsilon_2(x) \end{bmatrix} \pmod{x^{15}-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1\\ \alpha_2\\ \alpha_3\\ \alpha_4\\ \alpha_5\\ \alpha_6 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\alpha_k\in\mathbb{Z}_4^{30}$, say. Hence $\mathcal{C}=\{\sum_{k=1}^6 a_k\alpha_6\mid a_k\in\mathbb{Z}_4,\ k=1,2,3,4,5,6\}\subseteq\mathbb{Z}_4^{30}$. In particular, $\mathcal{C}$ is a special 2-quasi-cyclic code over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ of length 30 with

basic parameters $(30, 4^6; d_H(\mathcal{C}), d_L(\mathcal{C}))$, where $d_H(\mathcal{C})$ and $d_L(\mathcal{C})$ is the minimum Hamming distance and the minimum Lee distance of $\mathcal{C}$, respectively. The existing optimal parameters for $(30, 4^6, ; d_H(\mathcal{C}), d_L(\mathcal{C}))$-codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ in [2] are $d_H(\mathcal{C}) = 12$ and $d_L(\mathcal{C}) = 16$. Now, we have 60 left D_{30}-codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ with parameters $(30, 4^6; d_H(\mathcal{C}) = 12, d_L(\mathcal{C}) = 20)$ given by Equation (14) and the following table for $(w_1(x), \vartheta_1(x); w_2(x), \vartheta_2(x))$:

$w_1(x)$	$\vartheta_1(x)$	$w_2(x)$	$\vartheta_2(x)$	$w_1(x)$	$\vartheta_1(x)$	$w_2(x)$	$\vartheta_2(x)$
1	0	1	x^3+x^2+1	x	1	1	x^3+x^2+1
1	0	1	x^3+x^2	x	1	1	x^3+x^2
1	0	$w(x)$	x^3+x^2+1	x	1	$w(x)$	x^3+x^2+1
1	0	$w(x)$	x^3+x^2	x	1	$w(x)$	x^3+x^2
1	0	x^3	x^3+x^2+1	x	1	x^3	x^3+x^2+1
1	0	x^3	x^3+x^2	x	1	x^3	x^3+x^2
1	0	x^2	x^3+x^2+1	x	1	x^2	x^3+x^2+1
1	0	x^2	x^3+x^2	x	1	x^2	x^3+x^2
1	0	x	x^3+x^2+1	x	1	x	x^3+x^2+1
1	0	x	x^3+x^2	x	1	x	x^3+x^2
1	1	1	x^3+x^2+1	$3x+3$	0	1	x^3+x^2+1
1	1	1	x^3+x^2	$3x+3$	0	1	x^3+x^2
1	1	$w(x)$	x^3+x^2+1	$3x+3$	0	$w(x)$	x^3+x^2+1
1	1	$w(x)$	x^3+x^2	$3x+3$	0	$w(x)$	x^3+x^2
1	1	x^3	x^3+x^2+1	$3x+3$	0	x^3	x^3+x^2+1
1	1	x^3	x^3+x^2	$3x+3$	0	x^3	x^3+x^2
1	1	x^2	x^3+x^2+1	$3x+3$	0	x^2	x^3+x^2+1
1	1	x^2	x^3+x^2	$3x+3$	0	x^2	x^3+x^2
1	1	x	x^3+x^2+1	$3x+3$	0	x	x^3+x^2+1
1	1	x	x^3+x^2	$3x+3$	0	x	x^3+x^2
x	0	1	x^3+x^2+1	$3x+3$	1	1	x^3+x^2+1
x	0	1	x^3+x^2	$3x+3$	1	1	x^3+x^2
x	0	$w(x)$	x^3+x^2+1	$3x+3$	1	$w(x)$	x^3+x^2+1
x	0	$w(x)$	x^3+x^2	$3x+3$	1	$w(x)$	x^3+x^2
x	0	x^3	x^3+x^2+1	$3x+3$	1	x^3	x^3+x^2+1
x	0	x^3	x^3+x^2	$3x+3$	1	x^3	x^3+x^2
x	0	x^2	x^3+x^2+1	$3x+3$	1	x^2	x^3+x^2+1
x	0	x^2	x^3+x^2	$3x+3$	1	x^2	x^3+x^2
x	0	x	x^3+x^2+1	$3x+3$	1	x	x^3+x^2+1
x	0	x	x^3+x^2	$3x+3$	1	x	x^3+x^2

where $w(x) = 3x^3 + 3x^2 + 3x + 3 \in \mathcal{W}_2$.

Acknowledgments Part of this work was done when Yonglin Cao was visiting Chern Institute of Mathematics, Nankai University, Tianjin, China. Yonglin Cao would like to thank the institution for the kind hospitality. This research is supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11671235, 11471255, 61571243) and the National Key Basic Research Program of China (Grant No. 2013CB834204).

References

[1] N. Aydin, D. K. Ray-Chaudhuri, Quasi-cyclic codes over $\mathbb{Z}_4$ and some new binary codes, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **48**, 2065–2069 (2002).

[2] N. Aydin, T. Asamov, The $\mathbb{Z}_4$ database, http://www.asamov.com/$\mathbb{Z}_4$Codes. Accessed on 2016-06-18.

[3] L. M. J. Bazzi, S. K. Mitter, Some randomized code constructions from group actions, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **52**, 3210–3219 (2006).

[4] M. Bhaintwal, S. K. Wasan, On quasi-cyclic codes over $\mathbb{Z}_q$, Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput. **20**, 459–480 (2009).

[5] M. Bhaintwal, Skew quai-cyclic codes over Galois rings, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **62**, 85–101 (2012).

[6] D. Boucher, W. Geiselmann, F. Ulmer, Skew cyclic codes, Appl. Algebra in Eng. Comm. Comput. **18**, 379–389 (2007).

[7] D. Boucher, P. Solé, F. Ulmer, Skew constacyclic codes over Galois rings, Adv. Math. Commun. **2**, 273–292 (2008).

[8] D. Boucher, F. Ulmer, Codes as modules over skew polynomial rings, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. **5921**, 38–55 (2009).

[9] D. Boucher, F. Ulmer, Coding with skew polynomial rings, J. Symbolic Comput. **44**, 1644–1656 (2009).

[10] F. E. Brochero Martínez, Structure of finite dihedral group algebra, Finite Fields Appl. **35**, 204–214 (2015).

[11] E. Byrne, Lifting decoding schemes over a Galois ring, Applied algebra, algebraic algorithms and Error-Correcting Codes (Melbourne, 2001), Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. **2227**, Springer, 323–332 (2001).

[12] E. Byrne, Decoding a class of Lee metric codes over a Galois ring, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **48**, 966–975 (2002).

[13] E. Byrne and P. Fitzpatrick, Hamming metric decoding of alternant codes over Galois rings, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **48**, 683–694 (2002).

[14] A. R. Calderbank, A. R. Hammons, Jr., P. V. Kumar, N. J. A. Sloane, and P. Solé, A linear construction for certain Kerdock and Preparata codes, Bull. AMS **29**, 218–222 (1993).

[15] Y. Cao, Generalized quasi-cyclic codes over Galois rings: structural properties and enumeration, Appl. Algebra Eng. Commun. Comput. **22**, 219–233 (2011).

[16] Y. Cao, 1-generator quasi-cyclic codes over finite chain rings, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. **24**, 53–72 (2013).

[17] Y. Cao, On constacyclic codes over finite chain rings, Finite Fields Appl. **24**, 124–135 (2013).

[18] Y. Cao, Y. Cao, F-W. Fu, Concatenated structure of left dihedral codes, Finite Fields Appl. **38**, 93–115 (2016).

[19] J. Cui, P. Junying, Quaternary 1-generator quasi-cyclic codes, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **58**, 23–33 (2011).

[20] H. Q. Dinh, S.R. Lóez-Permouth, Cyclic and negacyclic codes over finite chain rings, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **50** 1728–1744 (2004).

[21] H. Q. Dinh, Complete distances of all negacyclic codes of length 2^s over $\mathbb{Z}_{2^a}$, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **53**, 147–161 (2007).

[22] F. S. Dutra, R. Ferraz, C. P. Milies, Semisimple group codes and dihedral codes, Algebra Discrete Math. **3**, 28–48 (2009).

[23] O. Gabriela, V. G. Inneke, Construction of minimal non-abelian left group codes, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **75**, 359–373 (2015).

[24] A. R. Hammons, Jr., P.V. Kumar, A. R. Calderbank, N. J. A. Sloane, and P. Solé, The $\mathbb{Z}_4$-linearity of Kerdock, Preparata, Goethals, and related codes, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **40**, 301–319 (1994).

[25] S. Jiman, P. Udomkavanich, The Gray image of codes over finite chain rings, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci. **5**, 449–458 (2010).

[26] S. Jitman, S. Ling, Udomkavanich P.: Skew constacyclic codes over finite chain rings, Adv. Math. Commun. **6**, 39–63 (2012).

[27] X. Kai, S. Zhu, Negacyclic self-dual codes over finite chain rings, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **62**, 161–174 (2012).

[28] H. M. Kiah, K. H. Leung and S. Ling, Cyclic codes over GR(p^2, m) of length p^k, Finite Fields Appl. **14**, 834–846 (2008).

[29] C. Martínez-Pérez, W. Willems, Self-dual double-even 2-quasi-cyclic transitive codes are asymptotically good, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **53**, 4302–4308 (2007).

[30] I. McLoughlin, A group ring construction of the [48,24,12] type II linear block code, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **63**, 29–41 (2012).

[31] A. A. Nechaev, Kerdock code in a cyclic form (in Russian), Diskr. Math. (USSR) 1 (1989), 123-139. English translation: Discrete Math. and Appl. **1**, 365–384 (1991).

[32] G. Norton and A. Sălăgean-Mandache, On the structure of linear cyclic codes over finite chain rings, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. **10**, 489–506 (2000).

[33] D. S. Passman, The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings. Wiley, New York (1977).

[34] A. Sălăgean, Repeated-root cyclic and negacyclic codes over finite chain rings, Discrete Appl. Math. **154**, 413–419 (2006).

[35] N. Sendrier, On the concatented structure of a linear code, Appl. Algebra in Engrg. Comm. Comput. **9**, 221–242 (1998).

[36] Z.-X. Wan, Lectures on Finite Fields and Galois Rings, World Scientific Pub Co Inc., Singapore (2003).

[37] Z.-X. Wan, Cyclic codes over Galois rings, Algebra Colloq. **6**, 291–304 (1999).